

1 NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

2 RPTS SALANDRO

3 HIF164160

4
5
6 PROMOTING SECURITY IN WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY

7 TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 2017

8 House of Representatives

9 Subcommittee on Communications and

10 Technology

11 Committee on Energy and Commerce

12 Washington, D.C.

13
14
15
16 The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in
17 Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Marsha Blackburn
18 [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

19 Members present: Representatives Blackburn, Lance, Shimkus,
20 Olson, Kinzinger, Bilirakis, Johnson, Flores, Brooks, Collins,
21 Cramer, Walters, Costello, Doyle, Welch, Clarke, Loeb sack, Ruiz,
22 Dingell, Rush, Eshoo, Butterfield, Matsui, McNerney, and Pallone
23 (ex officio).

24 Staff present: Kelly Collins, Staff Assistant; Blair Ellis,

25 Digital Coordinator/Press Secretary; Chuck Flint, Policy
26 Coordinator, Communications and Technology; Gene Fullano,
27 Detailee, Communications and Technology; Jay Gulshen,
28 Legislative Clerk, Health; Kelsey Guyselman, Counsel,
29 Communications and Technology; Lauren McCarty, Counsel,
30 Communications and Technology; Paul Nagle, Chief Counsel, Digital
31 Commerce and Consumer Protection; John Ohly, Professional Staff,
32 Oversight and Investigations; Dan Schneider, Press Secretary;
33 Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff Director; Alex Debianchi, Minority
34 Telecom Fellow; David Goldman, Minority Chief Counsel,
35 Communications and Technology; Jerry Leverich, Minority Counsel;
36 Lori Maarbjerg, Minority FCC Detailee; Jessica Martinez, Minority
37 Outreach and Member Services Coordinator; and Dan Miller,
38 Minority Policy Analyst.

39

40 Mrs. Blackburn. --everyone, and go ahead and call our
41 subcommittee to order. And I will begin by thanking Mr. Doyle's
42 Penguins for a very fine hockey series against my Nashville Preds.
43 I told him I thought about bringing him a little bit of catfish
44 today, but we were sorry we didn't win but we think it was just
45 a fantastic series and we congratulate.

46 Mr. Doyle. Well, thank you.

47 Mrs. Blackburn. Yeah. And now I recognize myself for 5
48 minutes for an opening statement. And I welcome each of you to
49 the subcommittee's hearing titled, Promoting Security in Wireless
50 Technology, and thank you to our witnesses for appearing and for
51 offering your testimony on this important issue and thank you for
52 submitting that testimony on time. We appreciate that.

53 Mobile connectivity has become essential to our daily lives
54 as a result of technology and consumer demand. Unfortunately,
55 increasing reliance on wireless devices and networks has provided
56 more avenues for cybercriminals to compromise our security and
57 harm consumers. According to the 2017 Hiscox Cyber Readiness
58 Report, cybercrimes cost the global economy approximately 450
59 billion, and over 100 million Americans had their medical records
60 stolen in 2016. I think that is such an important stat. 100
61 million Americans had their medical records stolen in 2016.

62 Threats to mobile devices and networks can run the gamut from
63 the use of ransomware and phishing schemes to packet sniffing and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

64 attacks on encryption protocols used to protect information sent
65 over WiFi. These incidents have been occurring with alarming
66 frequency on scales large and small. The Harvard Business Review
67 wrote last September 22nd that--and I am quoting.

68 Mobile devices are one of the weakest links in corporate
69 security and that if mobile security isn't a problem for your
70 company yet, it will be.

71 Hackers are smart. They are adapting. McAfee's 2016
72 Mobile Threat Report notes mobile devices are quickly becoming
73 the cybercriminal's target of choice because of the abundance of
74 sensitive information individuals store on them. This is
75 corroborated by a Newsweek report from March that stated mobile
76 ransomware attacks had already grown over 250 percent in 2017.
77 The sophistication and frequency of cyber attacks against mobile
78 devices continues to escalate and we must meet this challenge
79 head-on.

80 Our hearing will also examine threats to wireless networks.
81 As the Majority Memorandum notes, mobile devices generate
82 numerous air interfaces to transmit data, with each interface
83 creating unique security vulnerabilities and attack methods.
84 Threats include packet sniffing, rogue access points, jamming,
85 and locating flawed encryption algorithms. These attacks can be
86 initiated by hackers to obtain financial information, user
87 passwords, and block legitimate network traffic. A recent

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

88 example of this was the DDOS attack against Dyn which disrupted
89 websites such as Twitter, Netflix, and Etsy last November. We
90 all remember that one.

91 I have often said that cyberspace is the battlefield of the
92 21st century. It is time to act. Hardworking taxpayers are
93 demanding leadership from Washington in the cyber arena and it
94 is our duty to provide it. Enhanced defensive capabilities
95 should be developed by promoting greater collaboration between
96 public and private entities.

97 CTIA has shown leadership through its Cybersecurity Working
98 Group. Their efforts have brought federal agencies such as the
99 FCC and DHS together with the private sector to develop solutions
100 to the dilemma. Whether it is encryption, the use of
101 authentication standards, updating operating systems, or
102 rigorous implementation of anti-virus software, we must have an
103 all-of-the-above approach when it comes to forging defensive
104 strategies against cybercriminals.

105 I thank you all for being here and at this time I yield 5
106 minutes to the ranking member, Mr. Doyle.

107 [The prepared statement of Mrs. Blackburn follows:]

108

109 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 1*****

110 Mr. Doyle. I thank you, Madam Chair, for holding this
111 hearing and for the witnesses for appearing today. Before I get
112 started I just want to reiterate a momentous occasion in our city.
113 The Pittsburgh Penguins have brought the Stanley Cup back to
114 Pittsburgh for the second year in a row. We beat back broken bones
115 and sideline starters and some ferocious play from the Nashville
116 Predators. I know the Predators aren't squarely in the
117 gentle lady from Tennessee's district, but I want to congratulate
118 her and their team on a hard fought series.

119 Mr. McNerney. Will the gentleman yield to someone from the
120 Golden State?

121 Mr. Doyle. No. No, I will not. But I have time at the end.
122 You know, in Pittsburgh we could throw Primanti Bros. sandwiches
123 on the ice but they taste so good we prefer to eat them. So
124 anyways, go Pens and congratulations to the Predators.

125 I also want to mark another milestone. As of today, there
126 are just under five million comments in the FCC's proceeding to
127 repeal net neutrality rules. With still months to go, we have
128 already far eclipsed the record-breaking 3.7 million comments
129 that were filed in 2015. The vast majority of these comments are
130 overwhelmingly in support of the current rules and opposed to the
131 Trump administration's effort.

132 And I would once again urge the chairman to bring the
133 Commission before this committee for oversight hearings so that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

134 Congress can do its job and provide much needed oversight and
135 public scrutiny. I think it would be a dereliction of duty not
136 to provide oversight of an agency whose actions risk upending the
137 internet ecosystem, one of the primary drivers of our economy.

138 Considering the number of oversight hearings held during the
139 previous administration, I am sure my colleagues on the other side
140 of the aisle appreciate this fact all too well and will see fit
141 to schedule oversight hearings of the Commission as soon as
142 possible.

143 Now, on to the topic before us today, promoting online
144 security. Security is an absolutely critical issue. It enables
145 an environment where commerce, communication, and innovation can
146 flourish. However, increasingly, organizations are facing
147 mounting threats and greater challenges particularly as more
148 sectors of our economy come to depend on the digital
149 infrastructure.

150 These challenges are being compounded by highly
151 sophisticated online threats that are increasingly funded and
152 supported by hostile nations. As the witnesses point out in their
153 testimony, attacks we face today are highly sophisticated and
154 increasingly destructive, from Crash Override to Mirai botnet,
155 from the hacks of the DNC and the Russian meddling in the U.S.
156 election to WannaCry ransomware, these issues are only escalating
157 in their severity.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

158 My colleagues, Representatives Clarke, Engel, and McNerney
159 have all introduced legislation in this committee to address the
160 threats we face. I would encourage the chairman to hold
161 legislative hearings on these bills. I would also add that we
162 need to use every tool in our toolbox to address cyber threats
163 we are facing.

164 In repealing the FCC's privacy rules using the CRA, Congress
165 also repealed data security protections contained in those rules.
166 While these rules were not a panacea, they required reasonable
167 steps to protect data and were a meaningful step towards
168 addressing this issue.

169 With that I would yield the remaining minute and 35 seconds
170 of my time to any one of my colleagues that desires to use it.
171 Mr. McNerney?

172 [The prepared statement of Mr. Doyle follows:]

173

174 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 2*****

175 Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank the ranking member. And I
176 don't want to say too much more about the Golden State Warriors
177 so I will move on. But I want to thank the chair for today's
178 hearing.

179 The security is important. Last October we witnessed a
180 catastrophic attack that used the insecure Internet of Things
181 devices to cripple the internet. A weak device security poses
182 serious threats to our national security and to the economy. That
183 is why I introduced the Securing IoT Act which would require that
184 cybersecurity standards be established for IoT devices and that
185 these devices be certified to meet those standards.

186 I am also disappointed that my Republican colleagues have
187 not shown any interest in this bill especially since 20 to 50
188 billion connected devices are expected to be in use by the year
189 2020. Meanwhile, my Republican colleagues passed the privacy
190 CRA, which leaves consumers more vulnerable to cybersecurity
191 attacks, and that is why I introduced MY DATA Act so that consumers
192 can have strong, data security protections.

193 I hope my colleagues can get behind these two important
194 bills, and I yield back to the ranking member.

195 [The prepared statement of Mr. McNerney follows:]

196

197 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 3*****

198 Mr. Doyle. And Ms. Eshoo, would you like the remaining time?

199 Ms. Eshoo. Well, you are nice but there is 11 seconds left,
200 so I will weave my comments in later on. Thank you very much.
201 I appreciate it.

202 Mr. Doyle. Okay, thank you. I will yield back. Thank you.

203 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you.

204 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Lance, you
205 are recognized for 5 minutes.

206 Mr. Lance. Thank you, Chair Blackburn. And welcome to our
207 distinguished panel, thank you for appearing before us today.

208 Since the advent of the smart phone and network innovations
209 such as 4G LTE, consumers have become increasingly less
210 constrained by location when using the internet. Mobile
211 technology has changed the way consumers interact, freeing them
212 to conduct business, to shop, to have access to health and
213 financial records, to study and participate in countless other
214 activities almost anywhere in the country.

215 As more and more technological innovations such as 5G and
216 Internet of Things devices come to market, billions more devices
217 will become connected and continue to revolutionize the way
218 consumers and businesses behave. And we have just participated
219 downstairs in a forum regarding the Internet of Things with many
220 of the great companies in this country, including Qualcomm and
221 Panasonic and Siemens and Honeywell and others.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

222 However, with increased ease of access and reliance on
223 connected devices comes increased security risks as the chair has
224 already indicated. We have already seen bad actors take
225 advantage of the flood of internet-connected devices in the DDOS
226 botnet attacks last year, and an increase of phishing and malware
227 attacks on mobile devices. Threats are constantly evolving and
228 increasing in sophistication and scope.

229 Cybersecurity needs to be a priority as we become more
230 dependent on connected devices. A large part of this is educating
231 consumers and businesses on how best to protect themselves and
232 their devices on the internet such as recognizing an attempt to
233 invade the internet and regularly to change passwords.

234 There is also a responsibility for the government and
235 industry to work together in making sure that networks and
236 consumers are protected without mandating innovation-stifling
237 technology or security standards that will become obsolete
238 quickly. And we have seen this across the last 20 years that
239 technology outstrips what we do here in Washington.

240 I thank our panel for your efforts in this important field
241 and look forward to the testimony. And I apologize. I will be
242 moving in and out. There are two subcommittees of importance
243 today from the Energy and Commerce Committee. Certainly this is
244 an incredibly important issue and I will certainly be here to the
245 greatest extent possible.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

246 Welcome again to our distinguished panel, and I would yield
247 2 minutes 20 seconds to any of our colleagues who wish to be
248 recognized.

249 [The prepared statement of Mr. Lance follows:]

250

251 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 4*****

252 Mrs. Blackburn. Anyone seeking time for an opening
253 statement? If not, the gentleman yields back.

254 Mr. Lance. I yield back, Madam Chair.

255 Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Pallone, the ranking member of the full
256 committee, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

257 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chairman.

258 Cyber attacks are one of the most serious threats to our
259 national security today. Every day, new information comes out
260 about how the Russians and other foreign actors are hacking our
261 institutions and our democracy. Just last week, former FBI
262 Director Comey testified, and I am quoting.

263 The Russians interfered in our election during the 2016
264 cycle. They did it with purpose. They did it with
265 sophistication. They did it with overwhelming technical
266 efforts. It was an active measures campaign driven from the top
267 of that government. There is no fuzz on that. Unquote.

268 This committee has primary jurisdiction over the
269 communications networks that were used by the Russians to commit
270 these attacks. We should be focused like a laser on how to stop
271 them from happening again, but this committee has yet to hold a
272 single hearing on these Russian hacks. Worse still, the only
273 legislation House Republicans have pushed and supported within
274 this subcommittee's jurisdiction actually makes us less safe, in
275 my opinion.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

276 With no hearings or advance notice, the leadership of this
277 committee led the charge to strip away Americans' privacy rights
278 and throw out some of the only protections on the books to secure
279 our data. These safeguards simply said that broadband providers
280 needed to take reasonable measures to secure Americans' data.
281 But despite the Russian hacks, congressional Republicans
282 eliminated those protections under the absurd pretext that asking
283 companies to act reasonably was government overreach.
284 This hearing today is another example of committee Republicans
285 simply not taking these issues seriously. Democrats tried to
286 invite another cybersecurity expert to testify here today who
287 could have helped us better understand the threats to our country
288 like the Russian hacks, but the majority made up arbitrary and
289 partisan reasons, in my opinion, to effectively block us. This
290 decision shortchanges our members' ability to hear from the
291 experts in this area. These games have to stop because these
292 issues are just too serious to keep playing politics with our
293 national security. Now Democrats are trying to address these
294 issues head on in a nonpartisan way. We have put forward three
295 bills--from Mr. Engel, Mr. McNerney, and Ms. Clarke--to help fix
296 some of these problems.

297 These are good bills that were introduced more than 3 months
298 ago and every day that goes by with no action is another day that
299 the American people are at risk. Republicans, as I said before,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

300 should stop playing political games with national security
301 because the risks are too great. And with that I would like to
302 yield the time that I have left to Ms. Clarke and Ms. Eshoo. I
303 guess we will split it evenly. We will start, I yield to Ms.
304 Clarke.

305 [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]

306

307 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 5*****

308 Ms. Clarke. First, I would like to thank our ranking member,
309 Mr. Pallone, for yielding his time to me and thank Ranking Member
310 Doyle and Chairwoman Blackburn for holding this important
311 hearing. And I welcome our witnesses today for their expert
312 testimony, I look forward to hearing from today's panelists.

313 Many of my constituents in the 9th congressional district
314 of New York have voiced their concerns on cybersecurity and have
315 asked that I and my colleagues what we can do to lessen their
316 vulnerability to cyber attacks which is why I introduced the
317 Cybersecurity Responsibility Act of 2017.

318 The Cybersecurity Responsibility Act of 2017 calls on the
319 Federal Communications Commission to take an active role in
320 protecting communications networks by carefully arranging,
321 organizing, and supervising cybersecurity risks to prevent cyber
322 attacks. As technology continues to develop and grow, so must
323 our rules and regulations on internet safety. It is our duty not
324 only as Members of Congress but as members of the committee to
325 protect Americans against cyber attacks by ensuring that there
326 are sufficient rules in place. With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield
327 back to you.

328 [The prepared statement of Ms. Clarke follows:]

329

330 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 6*****

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

331 Mr. Pallone. I yield the remaining of the time to Ms. Eshoo.

332 Ms. Eshoo. I thank the ranking member and I thank all the
333 witnesses. Some of you have been here before, welcome back, and
334 to those who haven't, welcome.

335 It has been said but it needs to be restated, cybersecurity,
336 I think, is really one of the most pressing national security
337 issues, challenges for our country. Almost everything that we
338 do here in Congress relative to cybersecurity is after there has
339 been a breach, and I think that we need to really drill down on
340 prevention.

341 I have spoken to countless people in my Silicon Valley
342 district. Almost to a person they tell me that we need to
343 concentrate on prevention. Up to 90 percent of the breaches, both
344 government and private sector--and 95 percent of this is private
345 sector, 5 percent is the Federal Government as important as it
346 is--say that there are two pillars to this. One is cyber hygiene
347 and the other is consistent security management, so I am shortly
348 going to be introducing legislation that reflects that.

349 I think that NIST can set the standards and I think that
350 companies should have a set of good housekeeping seal of approval
351 and that as important as it is to take steps after something has
352 happened, I think that we need to start focusing on prevention.

353 So we will talk more about it with our distinguished panel,
354 but I want to thank the ranking member for allowing me to, giving

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

355 me some time to make this brief statement. Thank you.

356 [The prepared statement of Ms. Eshoo follows:]

357

358 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 7*****

359 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back. The gentleman
360 yields back and this concludes our opening statements. I will
361 remind all members that their opening statements will be made a
362 part of the record.

363 And we do thank our witnesses for being here with us today.
364 We are going to give each of you the opportunity to make a 5-minute
365 opening statement.

366 And our witnesses, Mr. Bill Wright who is the director of
367 Government Affairs & Senior Policy Counsel, and we welcome you;
368 Mr. Amit Yoran who is the chairman and CEO of Tenable; Ms. Kiersten
369 Todt who is the managing partner at Liberty Group Ventures and
370 a resident scholar at the University of Pittsburgh--I guess you
371 are celebrating too--Institute for Cyber Law, Policy, and
372 Security; and Mr. Charles Clancy who is the director and professor
373 at Hume Center for National Security and Technology at Virginia
374 Tech.

375 So we appreciate that you are each here. We will begin, Mr.
376 Wright, with you. You are recognized for 5 minutes for your
377 opening statement.

378 STATEMENTS OF BILL WRIGHT, DIRECTOR, GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS & SENIOR
379 POLICY COUNSEL, SYMANTEC; AMIT YORAN, CHAIRMAN AND CEO, TENABLE
380 NETWORK SECURITY; CHARLES CLANCY, DIRECTOR AND PROFESSOR, HUME
381 CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AND TECHNOLOGY, VIRGINIA TECH; AND,
382 KIERSTEN TODT, MANAGING PARTNER, LIBERTY GROUP VENTURES

383

384 STATEMENT OF BILL WRIGHT

385

386 Mr. Wright. Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle,
387 members of the subcommittee thank you for the opportunity to
388 testify today. The cyber threats that we face today and every
389 day are growing both in numbers and in sophistication. As the
390 chairman pointed out in her opening statement, cyberspace truly
391 is the battlefield of the 21st century.

392 And while global ransomware attacks and destructive malware
393 attacks tend to steal the headlines, it is other threats--threats
394 to mobile, threats to wireless, threats to IoT--that are quickly
395 gaining prominence. And no wonder, today more than half of the
396 world's web traffic originates from mobile phones and nearly half
397 of the people on the planet own a smart phone today.

398 But I think calling it a phone doesn't quite do this justice.
399 This isn't a phone. It is a powerful, connected, handheld
400 computer and from time to time you can use it to call your wife.
401 We need to start viewing these as computers and we need to protect

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

402 them as computers. Our web searches, our banking, our personal
403 health information is all being transmitted and stored on mobile
404 devices. Our smart phones are becoming an extension of ourselves
405 and our identity.

406 We are also seeing a blurring of the lines between
407 work-issued devices and personal devices. Employees can and
408 often expect to be able to work from anywhere. Workers can
409 unwittingly introduce virus into an entire network system from
410 a single download of a malicious app. IT security is no longer
411 about just protecting the perimeter from attack because that
412 perimeter now covers the entire planet.

413 As we all rush and rush to connect more and more devices to
414 the internet we will undoubtedly improve our lives in many, many
415 ways, but we will also be greatly increasing the attack surface.
416 Last year's Mirai botnet DDOS attack was a sobering wake-up call
417 for how powerful IoT-based botnet could be. And it was also a
418 chilling reminder for what could happen if those bot masters had
419 trained their sights elsewhere, say on an industrial control
420 system.

421 Attackers are continuing to evolve their criminal tools and
422 getting better at avoiding detection and obfuscating their
423 actions. The incentives for criminals is very strong.
424 Cybercrime is more lucrative than ever. There is very little risk
425 in getting caught and the underground cybercrime marketplace is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

426 booming, allowing even an art history major to conduct highly
427 sophisticated cyber attacks by renting crime as a service by the
428 hour or buying ransomware tool kits or mobile banking trojans.

429 Mobile device manufacturers, particularly Apple, have done
430 a pretty good job at putting security into their products and
431 keeping malicious apps out of their stores. Android also has made
432 some great strides over the last year. However, the very
433 attributes that make mobile phones so attractive to consumers also
434 make them a very tempting target for cybercriminals because unlike
435 your desktop computer, your mobile device is always active, always
436 receiving and used for every aspect of your life.

437 Increasingly, smart phones are used for authentication
438 purposes in various online accounts. A hacker only needs to steal
439 or access your mobile device to get past all the other defenses
440 that have been set up on the network side. Unfortunately,
441 the public's attitude towards securing their devices has not kept
442 pace with the potential threat. More than a quarter of smart
443 phone users do not even use the most basic security feature, the
444 screen lock, let alone applying timely software updates.

445 And the criminals are following their victims onto these new
446 platforms. Over the last few years we have seen a dramatic rise
447 in malicious activity related to mobile devices driven by
448 cybercriminals using tried and true methods to monetize attacks
449 such as premium text messages, click fraud, and ransomware. Last

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

450 year, Symantec detected more than 18 million mobile threats, an
451 increase in 105 percent from the prior year. This trend will only
452 be exacerbated over the next few years when tens of billions of
453 connected devices are added to the internet. Cybercriminals are
454 only bound by their own imagination and if there is a way to steal
455 valuable data and monetize it, they will find it.

456 As this subcommittee knows, we face significant challenges
457 in our efforts to secure wireless networks and mobile devices and
458 while there remains much work to be done we have made some progress
459 in some areas, for instance, how we share threat information and
460 when we share threat information with our government partners.

461 At Symantec we are committed to improving online security
462 across the globe, including wireless and mobile security, and will
463 continue to work collaboratively with our customers, industry,
464 and governments to do so. Thank you again for the opportunity
465 to testify and happy to answer any questions.

466 [The prepared statement of Mr. Wright follows:]

467

468 *****INSERT 1*****

469

Mrs. Blackburn. I thank you for the testimony.

470

Mr. Yoran, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

471 STATEMENT OF AMIT YORAN

472

473 Mr. Yoran. Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, and
474 members of the subcommittee thank you for the opportunity to
475 testify today in what promises to be the most exciting hearing
476 of the day. I am chairman and CEO of Tenable, the world's most
477 widely deployed vulnerability management solution including in
478 the Federal Government where the majority of government agencies
479 use our technology to assess and manage their cyber risk.

480 It is important to put mobility and wireless in the context
481 of modern computing enterprise environments which are dynamic and
482 borderless and virtually unlimited in connectivity. Mobile
483 devices, wireless networks, transient user populations,
484 cloud-based infrastructure, web applications, and the shift to
485 DevOps go hand in glove with the Internet of Things in invading
486 our computing environments.

487 Today's complex mix of computer platforms and applications
488 combine to represent the modern attack surface where the assets
489 themselves and their associated vulnerabilities are constantly
490 expanding, contracting, and evolving, almost like a living
491 organism, creating gaps in overall system understanding, security
492 coverage, and resulting in underestimated exposure. Therefore,
493 it is important that any approach to cybersecurity for mobile
494 devices or wireless networks not be done in isolation but, rather,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

495 viewed as part of a holistic ecosystem.

496 In over 20 years practicing information security, the
497 following axiom proves true time and again. You cannot secure
498 what you don't know about. If there are elements of your
499 computing environment that are invisible or unknown to you,
500 chances are that they represent unaccounted-for risk.

501 Both the NIST Cybersecurity Framework and DHS's Continuous
502 Diagnostics and Mitigation program call for identifying assets
503 and vulnerabilities as the first step in cybersecurity.

504 Identifying assets not just once but continually is foundation
505 to assessing risk and developing effective security programs. My
506 written testimony includes policy recommendations, a few of which
507 I will highlight. First, we need a bold, new cyber workforce
508 strategy that develops and advances the ranks of all people from
509 different walks of life. Only through increased inclusion and
510 diversity in perspective and thought can our industry achieve the
511 greater creativity, innovation, and develop new solutions to our
512 most vexing challenges.

513 At Tenable we have implemented a Rooney Rule to set an example
514 of greater diversity in our leadership ranks. I do want to state
515 however that our efforts to expand the workforce will inevitably
516 fall short of the insatiable demand for cyber talent and we have
517 to prepare for that with a complementary focus on technology and
518 automation.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

519 Second, the government should encourage the private sector
520 companies to continually and fully assess their cybersecurity
521 risk just as the federal agencies will be doing and many regulatory
522 requirements and best practices already mandate. Today, all
523 organizations are part of a global ecosystem with a cyber hygiene
524 responsibility to one another. Simple malware like WannaCry
525 demonstrated what a very crippling cyber attack might do. The
526 infection was spread company to company, many of which simply
527 failed to adequately assess their cyber risk and act accordingly.
528 Third, the Federal Government should continue to promote the NIST
529 Cybersecurity Framework which, according to Gartner, will be
530 adopted by 50 percent of organizations by 2020.

531 In closing, I want to emphasize the importance of taking an
532 agile, continuous, and holistic approach to cybersecurity and
533 technology policy. As we all know, IT is changing quickly across
534 so many different dimensions. Prudence would have us look at
535 mobile devices, wireless networks, and other technologies gaining
536 great adoption in the broader context of our IT environments
537 rather than in isolation.

538 I would like to thank Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member
539 Doyle, and all the members of the subcommittee for their attention
540 to this important issue and I will be happy to respond to your
541 questions.

542 [The prepared statement of Mr. Yoran follows:]

543

544

*****INSERT 2*****

545 Mrs. Blackburn. I thank the gentleman and he yields back
546 and, Dr. Clancy, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

547 STATEMENT OF CHARLES CLANCY

548

549 Mr. Clancy. Thank you, Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member
550 Doyle, and members of the subcommittee. I think that you have
551 a heard a lot about the threats that we face in the wireless
552 security space.

553 Mrs. Blackburn. Your microphone.

554 Mr. Clancy. Oh, sorry. Thank you, Chairman Blackburn,
555 Ranking Member Doyle, and subcommittee members. I think we can
556 all agree that there are major vulnerabilities in the larger
557 ecosystem of wireless security that we have reason to be concerned
558 about. I would like to focus my opening remarks a bit on the
559 wireless infrastructure that underpins those networks.

560 Over the last decade we have seen a fundamental shift of the
561 DNA of the internet from the internet that connected stationary
562 computers to fixed server infrastructure to one that is the social
563 mobile internet. It is ubiquitous mobile broadband that connects
564 smart phones and users to social media and the internet as a whole.

565 This has again fundamentally changed the makeup of the
566 traffic on the internet and the nature of the cybersecurity threat
567 to the internet. Over the next decade we will see another titanic
568 shift of the internet with the so-called Internet of Things which
569 has been referred by several others so far, but the idea here is
570 that we could see an increase of 20 billion devices connected to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

571 the internet; again another fundamental titanic shift of the DNA
572 of the internet.

573 The wireless industry is working aggressively to address the
574 needs of IoT with 5G wireless technology and is seeking to make
575 sure that there are security components that are built into the
576 infrastructure to address those needs. If you look at our
577 cellular infrastructure today, the majority of us have 4G LTE
578 coverage.

579 And 4G LTE learned from the mistakes of 3G, which learned
580 from the mistakes of 2G, which learned from the mistakes of 1G,
581 and for the most part has the needed building blocks to develop
582 and manage a secure, wireless, mobile broadband infrastructure.
583 The key challenge we have though is that while 4G LTE is
584 ubiquitously deployed, we still have 2G and 3G infrastructure that
585 is operating, and much of the rest of the world has 2G and 3G
586 infrastructure operating that remains vulnerable to a wide range
587 of different attacks.

588 And in particular, in the last 12 months we have seen press
589 around IMSI catchers or so-called StingRays that are able to
590 compromise user privacy and the SS7 attacks that were able to
591 impact user privacy as well. And the big challenge is not that
592 4G LTE is insecure, it is just that we still have this legacy 2G
593 infrastructure deployed that remains insecure.

594 Additionally, we have unlicensed bands, unlicensed

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

595 technology, wireless technology-fueled innovation over the last
596 decade or two, right. WiFi fundamentally transformed many
597 aspects of how we connect to the internet and how internet is
598 available to us. However, in the early days of WiFi there were
599 rampant security vulnerabilities. My Ph.D. dissertation was
600 studying those vulnerabilities and looking to address them in the
601 standards that ultimately became WPA and WPA2, which ultimately
602 shored up many of those vulnerabilities.

603 And while home users and residential WiFi networks are for
604 the most part secure through deployment of these new technologies,
605 hotspots at everywhere from your coffee shop to airplanes remain
606 insecure and are vulnerable to attacks that we have known about
607 for 2 decades. So that remains, I think, a challenge as we look
608 at the wireless ecosystem as a whole. Third, I would look at
609 the services that operate over these networks, right. We have
610 a very complex tapestry of members of this ecosystem. We have
611 the device manufacturers, we have the operating system vendors,
612 we have the people who write and develop apps that run on these
613 systems. We have the cellular operators. We have the OEMs who
614 build equipment for the cellular operators. We have the cloud
615 providers and we have the median service entities that sit over
616 top of all of it. And each of one of these different groups has
617 a different regulatory focal point within the U.S. Government,
618 whether it be the Federal Communications Commission or the Federal

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

619 Trade Commission or DHS, and this creates a very complex ecosystem
620 when seeking to achieve cybersecurity because no one entity across
621 that entire continuum has enough control of the ecosystem to
622 achieve unilateral security.

623 So as a result, I think it is imperative that we look at
624 cybersecurity as a partnership where we need stakeholders across
625 all the, both government and industry to be working together on
626 developing solutions and deploying those solutions.

627 And lastly, as a member of the academic community, I will
628 reinforce the points that have been made earlier around workforce.
629 There are over a million cybersecurity jobs here in the United
630 States of which 31 percent are vacant. The number of new jobs
631 in cybersecurity each year that become open exceeds the total
632 volume of computer scientists graduating across the entire United
633 States.

634 So we need to think more broadly about how we fill these
635 cybersecurity gaps, and we need to think of cybersecurity not just
636 as a subdiscipline of computer science, but something that is
637 fundamentally intrinsic to technology overall. And with that I
638 will thank the chairman and conclude my remarks.

639 [The prepared statement of Mr. Clancy follows:]

640

641 *****INSERT 3*****

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

642

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back and we thank you.

643

Ms. Todt, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

644 STATEMENT OF KIERSTEN TODT

645

646 Ms. Todt. Good morning, Chairman Blackburn and Ranking
647 Member Doyle and members of the subcommittee. Thank you for the
648 opportunity to present my testimony on the promotion of security
649 in wireless technology. I am currently the managing partner of
650 Liberty Group Ventures and a resident scholar in Washington, D.C.
651 at the University of Pittsburgh Institute for Cyber Law Policy and
652 Security.

653 I also serve on the Federal Advisory Board of Lookout,
654 Incorporated, and most recently served from March 2016 to March
655 2017 as the executive director of the presidential Commission on
656 Enhancing National Cybersecurity. This Commission was
657 bipartisan independent and was charged with developing actionable
658 recommendations for growing and securing the digital economy as
659 well as for creating a road map for the incoming administration.

660 I appreciate this subcommittee's awareness of the need to
661 focus on the security of wireless and mobile technology. In a
662 world where first-to-market overrides secure-to-market and every
663 enterprise is seeking to make operations move more quickly and
664 be more convenient, addressing the security of these innovations
665 is critical and absolutely necessary. In response to the
666 questions posed by this hearing, my testimony will primarily focus
667 on mobile security and addressing the growing threat around

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

668 interdependencies in IoT.

669 Mobile devices are an attack vector that cannot be ignored
670 and they are increasingly targeted for access to sensitive
671 information or financial gain, as we have heard thoughtfully from
672 our other panelists. But mobility should not be at odds with
673 security and the reality is that cloud and mobile adoption in the
674 enterprise is just beginning. Mobile devices are a part of
675 every supply chain in your home and in your office, and mobile
676 devices have become much more than communications devices. They
677 are the access point to our work and our personal lives.
678 Additionally, with the rise of two-factor authentication -- an
679 important step in ensuring security but not the ultimate solution
680 -- the smart phone has become even more important than the
681 password.

682 A compromised device could hand over to an attacker an
683 authentication code and thus access to an individual's most
684 personal information as well as any work related sensitive
685 information. All mobile products have latent security
686 vulnerabilities that could be exploited by bad actors and many
687 users ignore security policies and download apps from unofficial
688 sources.

689 According to a recent Ponemon study, 67 percent of the Global
690 2000 reported that a data breach occurred as a result of employees
691 using mobile devices to access the company's sensitive and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

692 confidential information. Last summer, Lookout and Citizen Lab
693 detected the Pegasus spyware. Pegasus took advantage of three
694 zero-day vulnerabilities in the iOS devices to take complete
695 control of a device.

696 The attack was capable of getting messages, calls, emails,
697 logs, et cetera from apps including Facetime, Facebook, WhatsApp,
698 Viber, Skype, Gmail and others. This threat represents the first
699 time anyone has seen a remote jailbreak of an Apple device in the
700 wild and shows us that highly resourced actors see the mobile
701 platform as a fertile platform for gathering information.

702 Historically, government agencies have been restrictive
703 about the use of mobile devices in the workplace. Perhaps because
704 agencies now recognize that mobility is happening with or without
705 their permission, we are beginning to see a shift towards
706 prioritizing mobility initiatives in the Federal Government.
707 The bottom line is that smart phones are essentially a super
708 computer, as my colleague Mr. Wright noted, and today most have
709 absolutely no security software on them. Mandates or policies
710 stipulating that mobile devices must have an agent on the device
711 that does predictive analytics should be considered.

712 I would like to take this opportunity to commend John Ramsey
713 the CISO of the U.S. House of Representatives for his focus and
714 recent action on mobile security. This example is one where
715 Congress is ahead of the executive branch in implementing a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

716 cybersecurity best practice, and I encourage this committee,
717 perhaps in collaboration with the House Homeland Security
718 Committee, to hold a hearing on and to examine how federal agencies
719 can do a better job to defend against mobile security risks and
720 to take a page from the U.S. House of Representatives.

721 Our interconnections and interdependencies are becoming
722 more complex and now extend well beyond critical infrastructure.
723 These interconnections reduce the importance of the critical
724 infrastructure label because by association all dependencies may
725 be critical as we saw with the Dyn/Mirai attack last fall. The
726 proliferation of IoT devices is a growing challenge, and for the
727 purpose of this hearing I offer the automobile as an example of
728 interconnected devices. A Tesla is really a giant phone and
729 battery on wheels. The base technology for connected cars
730 originates from the smart phone revolution. And IoT and all of
731 the technology that goes into connected cars, for example, is
732 based on open source code that is genetically related to smart
733 phones.

734 We need to recognize that neither the government nor the
735 private sector can capably protect systems and networks without
736 close and extensive cooperation. The mobile environment only
737 adds to the challenge and urgency to develop an approach that
738 emphasizes pre-event collaboration, which I describe in my
739 written testimony, to more effectively manage our collective

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

740 cybersecurity risk.

741 As Representative Eshoo noted, government does instant
742 response well, but we need to be doing more to focus on prevention
743 and collaboration before an event actually occurs. Information
744 sharing is a byproduct of trust that develops through that type
745 of collaboration. We now recognize mobile security as one of the
746 greatest risks affecting all enterprises and we therefore need
747 to treat mobile devices as an endpoint priority equal to, if not
748 more important than, traditional endpoints such as desktops and
749 laptops.

750 Thank you for the opportunity to testify in front of you
751 today. I look forward to answering your questions.

752 [The prepared statement of Ms. Todt follows:]

753

754 *****INSERT 4*****

755 Mrs. Blackburn. Thank you so much. That was wonderful
756 testimony, zipping right through it. And so we will begin with
757 questions and I will yield myself 5 minutes and begin the
758 questions.

759 Mr. Wright, I am going to start right there with you. We
760 know and you all have referenced some of the public-private
761 partnership, the government-industry partnerships that have
762 moved forward and attempted to look at best practices in the mobile
763 cyberspace. NIST, we have mentioned that a couple of times their
764 framework and CTIA Cyber Working Group.

765 So is standard setting enough, is best practices enough, or
766 do we still need to have a statutory legislative solution?

767 Mr. Wright. Well, I think it might be a little early to tell.

768 Mrs. Blackburn. Microphone.

769 Mr. Wright. Oh, apologies.

770 Mrs. Blackburn. No problem.

771 Mr. Wright. I think it might be a little early to tell right
772 now following some of the NIST and cybersecurity framework
773 guidelines I think is working. I think there are a lot of private
774 sector that are currently adopting part of the executive order.
775 It is going to get more of the government using the NIST
776 Cybersecurity Framework, but there is a lot of other cooperation
777 going on between public and private sector as well.

778 I think if WannaCry had happened 2 years ago it would have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

779 been a much different story. Today, this time you had government
780 and the private sector coming together immediately within hours
781 of the outbreak starting, sharing information, sharing indicators
782 of compromise, and you ended up getting sort of a much, much better
783 result.

784 At Symantec, I know we take our government and our private
785 sector relationships very seriously, most oftentimes focused on
786 law enforcement. But that sort of private sector industry and
787 government partnering, I think, really is the key to this. There
788 is no government around that is going to be able to fight this
789 problem alone and there certainly is no private company that is
790 going to be able to fight this alone.

791 Mrs. Blackburn. Okay. Anyone else want to add something?
792 Ms. Todt?

793 Ms. Todt. If I may. So I had the privilege of working with
794 NIST on the development of the Cybersecurity Framework, and one
795 of the reasons why it continues to be so successful is it was
796 developed by industry for industry, so then there is an approach
797 that industry is then allowed to take to understand how to manage
798 its risks.

799 And I think one of the strong points to the executive order
800 that President Trump released was the focus on risk management,
801 and I think when you are looking for industry and government to
802 come together having that focus on risk management from a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

803 collaboration perspective helps to develop those standards.

804 What we concluded in the Commission report was that private
805 and public sector they should work together. When they don't work
806 together we should create incentives and when those incentives
807 don't work then we should interfere with regulation and other
808 types of official standards.

809 Mrs. Blackburn. Okay, anyone else?

810 Dr. Clancy, let me ask you. You talked a little bit about
811 the Internet of Things and the connected devices. And of course
812 we have a forum going on today, a showcase dealing with some of
813 that. I want you to expand a little bit on the challenges of
814 securing the IoT devices, especially the wearable technologies,
815 and what would be some of the consequences of our failing to
816 adequately secure IoT devices if you have 20 billion such devices
817 connected to the internet in a few years, and what do you see that
818 framework, those challenges?

819 Mr. Clancy. Well, I think that IoT represents a breadth of
820 different products and technologies. You have your
821 internet-connected --

822 Mrs. Blackburn. Right, let's focus on the wearable
823 technologies.

824 Mr. Clancy. Okay. So with respect to wearable, I think
825 some of the chief concerns are privacy of individual users. And
826 we want to make sure that data that is collected from those devices

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

827 and ingested into the cloud and used as part of whether it is some
828 health app or some other service to consumers that that data
829 remains private and isn't used to compromise the privacy that use
830 that information.

831 I think some of the challenges we have are that much of the
832 devices are manufactured overseas. We have supply chain
833 challenges and code quality challenges with the software that is
834 in those devices and that results in devices that we don't know
835 if are robust or not. Many times they connect through unlicensed
836 WiFi devices and there is no strong credentials or authentication
837 that can be used to provide real governance over those devices.
838 There is no way to push out software updates, for example, in a
839 deterministic way if there are vulnerabilities that are
840 discovered.

841 So I think those are some of the challenges that we face and
842 particularly in the wearable space of IoT.

843 Mrs. Blackburn. Thank you. Before I yield back my time I
844 will, my colleagues across the aisle have mentioned Russia a
845 couple of times. And I would just like to highlight that we have
846 in times past tried to raise Russia and our concerns there is an
847 issue and indeed with items manufactured offshore, I think Huawei.
848 We did a hearing on cyber and Huawei and concerns with Russia and
849 then even in the 2012 Presidential Mr. Romney raised Russia as
850 a concern.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

851 I would also highlight with my colleagues we have privacy
852 and data security legislation we would love to move forward on.
853 We look forward to having them join us in working on these issues.
854 And with that I yield back my time and recognize the gentleman
855 from Pennsylvania for 5 minutes for questions.

856 Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Madam Chair. So as the threats we
857 face continue to evolve and grow it seems that we not only need
858 to step up our basic practices of cyber hygiene and best practices,
859 but we need to look to the future. And the witnesses, all of you
860 in your testimony, refer to the shortfall in the workforce for
861 cybersecurity positions.

862 I know that DARPA in 2016 had the Cyber Grand Challenge and
863 they challenged researchers to create autonomous systems that
864 could defend against cyber attacks. Actually, a team from
865 Carnegie Mellon won that challenge, a victory that we are proud
866 of in Pittsburgh.

867 But I am curious. How does the panel see autonomous
868 defensive systems addressing this escalation in threats in our
869 workforce shortfalls? And we can just start at Mr. Wright and
870 go down. Please.

871 Mr. Wright. Certainly the shortage in qualified cyber
872 personnel is a problem today. It is going to be a problem in the
873 future. I think the more that we can move toward autonomous
874 defenses the better off we are going to be. I don't think the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

875 technology is there today, but it is getting better every day.
876 That type of innovation I know is a huge focus for not just for
877 Symantec but for other vendors as well.

878 Mr. Doyle. Thank you. Mr. Yoran?

879 Mr. Yoran. I think that there is great promise and certainly
880 progress being made in autonomous defenses, a lot of work going
881 on in the cyber domain around artificial intelligence. From my
882 perspective, the key to success is to scale the talent that we
883 have asymmetrically. Part of that would be through autonomous
884 defense, part of it would be through other technologies which
885 provide the limited number of network defenders to cover more
886 ground.

887 Mr. Clancy. I would agree with that. I think the major
888 opportunity with autonomous defense is to act as a force
889 multiplier for those human analysts who ultimately are making
890 decisions about what defenses to deploy and how to manage them.
891 We are seeing a renaissance of artificial intelligence right now
892 with deep learning and early research. Applying that to
893 cybersecurity looks very, very promising. But that will help make
894 existing analysts and cyber defenders more efficient, but they
895 will always still need to be part of the equation.

896 Mr. Doyle. Sure.

897 Ms. Todt. I would like to just approach it from a little
898 bit of a different perspective in the sense that from the workforce

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

899 we look at the fact -- what we heard on the Commission particularly
900 is that there are two issues. The current workforce that we have
901 isn't trained effectively for the skill sets that are needed and
902 we also need to be bringing in additional individuals into the
903 workforce.

904 But this needs to happen while automation, AI, big data
905 machine learning, are all being developed and so what we have to
906 understand is that the culture of cybersecurity that is being
907 created covers everything. And arguably, everybody is a part of
908 the cyber workforce, so while developing that workforce we are
909 also being able to invest in the innovation that can contribute
910 to the autonomous defense that you mentioned.

911 Mr. Doyle. Thank you. Let me ask the panel this also. You
912 know, as we look to the range of threats by government, industry,
913 institution to individuals, we acknowledge we all have a shared
914 responsibility to defend and protect this infrastructure. So
915 what role do you think ISPs can play in mitigating cyber threats
916 whether it be a botnet, malware, or some other threat, do you think
917 federal agencies should have more authority to mandate either
918 concrete steps or risk mitigation frameworks to ensure that these
919 companies take sufficient steps to protect these networks if they
920 are not doing it on their own? And for anyone on the panel.

921 Mr. Yoran. Sounds like a dangerous question. I will take
922 a stab at it. I think that there is an opportunity for service

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

923 providers to differentiate themselves based on security service
924 levels and we have seen a number of service providers take a very
925 proactive approach to their security programs and offer security
926 services and protective services as part of these packages and
927 using it as a differentiation.

928 When you get to a point of mandating security, I think you
929 are on a very slippery slope and potentially dangerous scenario
930 where the service providers don't necessarily own the
931 applications. They don't understand the ways the systems are
932 being used and what impact might occur if they choose to block
933 certain types of traffic or not.

934 So there is merit in further investigating the concept, I
935 just think it should be done very cautiously.

936 Ms. Todt. And I just would like to add, from the executive
937 order this was one of the key issues that was raised and it was
938 also something that created a lot of initial tension with the
939 Commission to understand whose role, who is responsible for what.
940 As Amit said, I mean this is dangerous territory and there was
941 a lot of discussion and debate.

942 But what the executive order lays out and I think what
943 industry has said is essentially we need to come together to
944 understand where the responsibilities lie and how to create a road
945 map for moving forward. This is clearly an issue for
946 collaboration between industry and government.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

947 Mr. Doyle. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. I yield
948 back.

949 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Lance, for
950 5 minutes.

951 Mr. Lance. Thank you. I promise no dangerous questions and
952 you have all answered them very beautifully and very adeptly in
953 my judgment.

954 Dr. Clancy, you mentioned in your testimony that 5G
955 technologies have the opportunity to close current cybersecurity
956 gaps. Can you please expand on what these cybersecurity gaps are
957 and how the industry 5G innovations can help close the gaps?

958 Mr. Clancy. I think that as you look at the shift, the
959 technology shift that has happened as we move from the 3G and 2G
960 core network infrastructure to the 4G core network
961 infrastructure, we have moved away from the old circuit switch
962 technology and into all IP-based cell phone backhaul and backbone.

963 This is creating a range of new opportunities for new
964 technologies and new services that can be provided through this
965 infrastructure and it also exposes much of the cellular
966 infrastructure to the same sorts of risks that you face on the
967 internet. Before, we had a closed circuit switch network that
968 was isolated from the internet; now the barrier between the
969 internet and the cell phone core infrastructure begins to get
970 blurry because of the structure of the 4G infrastructure.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

971 5G actually blurs the line even further with technologies
972 like edge computing, a cloud-based Radio Access Network
973 technology. However, these are new tools in the toolbox that
974 could be used to construct a better set of layered cyber defenses
975 on behalf of subscribers, but we still haven't yet from a research
976 and standards perspective really figured out how all of that will
977 fit together.

978 Mr. Lance. Thank you. Mr. Yoran, as we saw with the attack
979 last year, unsecured Internet of Things devices, can pose a threat
980 to the other areas of the internet ecosystem. With billions of
981 IoT devices expected to come to market in the coming years, it
982 is essential that this vulnerability be addressed. Do you see
983 the NIST Cybersecurity Framework as the best approach to address
984 Internet of Things security?

985 Mr. Yoran. I think the NIST Cybersecurity Framework is
986 probably the best place to begin the dialogue around Internet of
987 Things security. At the end of the day, we have to take a holistic
988 approach to cybersecurity. We can't look at multiple devices
989 independently, we can't look at wireless networks independently
990 or Internet of Things independently. These things are completely
991 intertwined. Internet of Things most frequently rely on wireless
992 networks for their communications so they have to be looked at.

993 And I think the most important thing from my perspective that
994 the Cybersecurity Framework pushed toward was taking a risk-based

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

995 approach, because no use of technology is risk-free so
996 understanding it from a risk perspective is really helpful.

997 Mr. Lance. Would anyone else on the panel like to comment?

998 Ms. Todt. Just a quick comment. That is one of the issues
999 that was brought up also in the executive order and from the
1000 Commission which is to bring together, as Amit said, bringing
1001 together industry and government based off of the platform. So
1002 I think there is motion already in place at NIST to move forward
1003 with this to be able to create a set of standards that industry
1004 creates for itself.

1005 Mr. Lance. I couldn't agree with that more in that industry
1006 is often ahead of us in government and we want to work in a
1007 cooperative way. But my belief, based upon the last 20 years,
1008 is that we are innovative because of the way we have approached
1009 this and certainly we want the United States to continue to be
1010 the innovative center of the world regarding these matters.

1011 I represent a district that is very heavily involved in
1012 technology and in the internet and we want that to continue. We
1013 don't want to lose leadership to some other place around the globe.
1014 Thank you, Chair, and I yield back a minute.

1015 Mrs. Blackburn. And we will take it. And Mr. McNerney, 5
1016 minutes.

1017 Mr. McNerney. I thank the chairwoman. Ms. Todt, in your
1018 written testimony you talked about the world where first to market

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1019 overrides secure to market. Would you agree that we are currently
1020 faced with a market failure since those who buy and sell insecure
1021 devices now have to bear the full cost of those devices?

1022 Ms. Todt. So I think you have asked a question that is really
1023 at the crux of the IoT debate, because as long as we are pushing
1024 out innovation without any security guidelines or boundaries we
1025 are in this second phase.

1026 A colleague of Mr. Wright's at Symantec was part of the NSTAC
1027 report who talked about this first 18-month window that we have
1028 passed on the proliferation of IoT devices. And where we are now
1029 is that we heard from, in one of our Commission hearings, the CIO
1030 of Intel who said we want regulations and standards around IoT
1031 devices because we can't possibly compete in this realm where you
1032 have small businesses pushing out the innovation.

1033 So we have to think thoughtfully about incentives,
1034 penalties, and being able to truly develop secure by design, which
1035 is unfortunately becoming one of those terms that is losing its
1036 meaning because it is such a common term. But the idea of building
1037 security in and having to build software and hardware to certain
1038 standards around security has to be a priority right now with,
1039 as we have heard, all of the statistics the proliferation of IoT
1040 devices that is only going to increase.

1041 Mr. McNerney. Well, you sort of answered my follow-up
1042 question already which was I proposed legislation that would

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1043 require cybersecurity standards to be developed for the devices
1044 and for the devices to be certified to meet those standards.
1045 Would that help decrease the threat?

1046 Ms. Todt. So I think it actually connects back to an earlier
1047 question which is how do we build out the IoT standards? And I
1048 would offer that where we have seen such success with the NIST
1049 Framework is the fact that industry and government have worked
1050 together and so really looking at that collaboration first and
1051 foremost and then being able to inform any legislation.

1052 I think the sequence of that is important because we learn
1053 from what industry has done and we have to come together to then
1054 develop the standards that you reference.

1055 Mr. McNerney. Okay, thank you. Mr. Wright, Symantec's
1056 Internet Security Threat Report points to a growing number of
1057 attacks on IoT devices. Would requiring the IoT devices to meet
1058 baseline cybersecurity standards help decrease that threat? Is
1059 your microphone on?

1060 Mr. Wright. It certainly would be something to look into.
1061 I also agree that the NIST Cybersecurity Framework is a good place
1062 to begin a lot of those discussions. IoT is a little bit strange.
1063 The consumer isn't really playing the role of demanding secure
1064 products at this point. Some of that could be around awareness.
1065 Thirty six percent of the devices that are being manufactured and
1066 pushed out there right now have a default password of ADMIN. Some

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1067 of these are very simple fixes. I think when the consumers are
1068 armed and aware of the dangers they have a better chance of driving
1069 some of those markets.

1070 Mr. McNerney. Well, although the WannaCry ransomware
1071 attack was not the result of insecure IoT devices, I am curious
1072 about what lessons we can apply from the attack to IoT device
1073 security. How susceptible are IoT devices to ransomware attacks?

1074 Mr. Wright. So we have seen some preliminary more like
1075 research around IoT. I know that smart -- we did a research
1076 project where a smart TV was hacked in ransomware. Like I said
1077 earlier in my testimony, criminals are looking for ways to
1078 monetize these attacks. They are only bound by their imagination
1079 and it is a matter of time before they are able to figure out how
1080 to monetize ransomware attacks on devices, on IoT devices.

1081 Mr. McNerney. Well, are there a way that an IoT security
1082 or insecurity could result in physical harm?

1083 Mr. Wright. Certainly. IoT devices that are infected can
1084 have real-world consequences, absolutely.

1085 Mr. McNerney. And just to explain, how come it is difficult
1086 to patch IoT devices?

1087 Mr. Wright. Well, a lot of times these are being shipped
1088 out without any possibility of sending out firmware changes. In
1089 fact, most of them cannot receive patches or updates.

1090 Mr. McNerney. So could we, in your opinion, rely on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1091 voluntary IoT device security from the manufacturers?

1092 Mr. Wright. Well, I think that is -- I do think this needs
1093 to be sort of a consensus-driven standard. We need to have
1094 private sector involved. We need to have government involved and
1095 sort of find that middle ground, otherwise it is not going to work.

1096 I will point out one thing. The Mirai botnet that we were
1097 discussing today, those devices were not manufactured in the U.S.
1098 but rather the vast majority of them were manufactured overseas,
1099 specifically in China.

1100 Mr. McNerney. Okay. Before I yield I just want to say I
1101 appreciate Ms. Todt's remark that government does respond well
1102 but needs to do prevention better. Thank you. I yield back.

1103 Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Shimkus, you are recognized for 5
1104 minutes.

1105 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you, Madam Chair. And this is an
1106 excellent hearing. I do want to thank you all for coming. This
1107 is like an arms race. And the reason why I have always enjoyed
1108 this committee is that, you know, technology moves faster than
1109 we can regulate, hence it is very successful. Well, and that is
1110 part of this debate.

1111 I mean, do we do federal standards and really almost slow
1112 up the ability for expansion and new applications or, and so that
1113 is why I like -- I think most people are talking about consensus
1114 base working with the sector, because if we don't we will trip

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1115 over ourselves and we will slow applications, we will slow
1116 development. And that is why I think you see us kind of doing
1117 this little kabuki dance between the sides because it is just a
1118 very exciting, but there is a lot of dangers out there and people
1119 are going to take as was just said, you can't control what the
1120 bad actors are going to try to do to get access. But I
1121 also appreciated the comment that for a manufacturer or a provider
1122 they can, having secure information is marketable and should be,
1123 they could market it as a premium for the services they are
1124 providing and I think we have some businesses here that wrap around
1125 this. I think the average individual, we understand having a
1126 security office in a corporate setting and probably a sub under
1127 the security is data security and obviously, you know, this
1128 wireless technology and all these things as a subsection.

1129 So when we hire, when you are looking for a computer
1130 programmer to go in cyber, in the cyber world, what is a new
1131 engineering computer programmer, what are they going to be doing?
1132 I am sure there is a plethora of things, but I mean are they just
1133 going to be sitting at a screen watching interactions and trying
1134 to pick out and identify an attack? I mean we have all been
1135 in, I have been in nuclear, you know, power plants. I have been
1136 in data centers. I have been with screens all over the place.
1137 Is that what they are doing? Is that what a computer programmer
1138 in cybersecurity ends up doing?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1139 Mr. Yoran, do you want to answer that?

1140 Mr. Yoran. I will take a crack at it. In my experience,
1141 the best cybersecurity professionals are the ones that just show
1142 a tremendous amount of intellectual curiosity in what they are
1143 looking at, and sometimes it comes through formal training and
1144 discipline and frequently it doesn't. It is usually not the
1145 analyst who is sitting behind a screen watching logs go by and
1146 trying to pick and choose which one to dig into that is going to
1147 make the difference or that is going to scale our industry.

1148 If I could, I think the comment that you made and the
1149 Congressman from California are, I won't say two sides of the same
1150 coin, but they point to this foundational question of, you know,
1151 is there a market failure and what can and should Congress do about
1152 it. And from my experience, I think it would be hard to argue
1153 that a market, you know, we are not at a point of market failure,
1154 everything from, you know, the election to the hack that you see
1155 in every newspaper or news distribution point, even real news
1156 distribution point on a daily basis.

1157 In order for free markets to work you have to have an educated
1158 populous and you have to have a high degree of transparency and
1159 I think in the cyber domain we lack that transparency. There is
1160 a general lack of appreciation for what the threat environment
1161 looks like. There isn't a consistent understanding of what good
1162 cybersecurity looks like, what is working in our domain. There

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1163 is a lack of transparency when breaches occur outside of ones that
1164 impact PII.

1165 And so there isn't a common appreciation for what is not
1166 working and also I think what is at stake and what is at risk in
1167 using various products. So I think that there is a role for
1168 Congress to play around helping to raise awareness and create
1169 greater transparency.

1170 Mr. Shimkus. Let me go to just Dr. Clancy real quick because
1171 my time is running out. When we travel, which we as Members get
1172 a chance to do, we are visiting troops, many times we are asked
1173 to leave our computer at home and we are given a little dinky one
1174 to be able to continue to communicate. How are we, how secure
1175 is the U.S. wireless system versus places else around the world?

1176 Mr. Clancy. I would say the United States has the most
1177 secure wireless infrastructure in the world. I think the fact
1178 that it -- the things that lead to insecurity in other countries'
1179 networks have to do with deployment and use of old technology,
1180 a workforce that is managing those networks that is not aware of
1181 the latest threats, and the influence of authoritarian regimes
1182 over state-owned telecom infrastructure providers in many of
1183 those countries.

1184 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very much. Thank you, Madam
1185 Chairman.

1186 Mrs. Blackburn. Ms. Matsui, you are recognized for 5

1187 minutes.

1188 Ms. Matsui. Thank you, Madam Chair, for having this hearing
1189 and I thank the witnesses for being here today. Wireless
1190 technology and connectedness and of data and information have huge
1191 potential to move us forward in a variety of industries.

1192 Ms. Todt, you mentioned in your testimony that you recently
1193 had blood work done and were told the only way you could access
1194 the results was by downloading an app on your smart phone. I see
1195 both potential for good and for danger in this situation. It may
1196 be much more convenient for you to receive your test results
1197 visually on your phone rather than via snail mail or fax or a phone
1198 call. This could result in you acting on that information in a
1199 more timely or consistent manner, potentially improving your
1200 health.

1201 However, that also means that your data is potentially
1202 vulnerable. We saw the risk with the recent malware attacks that
1203 brought down hospital systems. Without access to the information
1204 that the doctors and nurses relied on to treat their patients they
1205 could no longer do so effectively.

1206 Our healthcare system is uniquely at risk of attacks. Most
1207 professionals who go into the healthcare field often including
1208 administrators don't have a cybersecurity background. We need
1209 to work to ensure that our healthcare providers have the
1210 technological infrastructure and workforce to manage the complex

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1211 data that they need to best serve patients.

1212 Last week, the Department of Health and Human Services
1213 released its Healthcare Industry Cybersecurity Task Force Report.
1214 Among other things, the report recommended executive education
1215 about the importance of cybersecurity. Ms. Todt and any of the
1216 other witnesses, what recommendations do you have for developing
1217 cybersecurity leadership in industries such as health care?

1218 Ms. Todt. Thank you. I am now convinced given what the
1219 chairman said that I was one of the 100 million that got my
1220 healthcare records breached last year, but that is something else
1221 for me to figure out. I think that what you ask is a great question
1222 in relation to also the other questions that have been posed around
1223 IoT and workforce, because we tend to think of cybersecurity
1224 workforce as those with the engineering degrees.

1225 But what we have to understand in the workforce that we are
1226 creating is that everybody has to be educated on cybersecurity.
1227 This is not an expertise; it crosses every enterprise. And
1228 arguably, I would think that human resources professionals, those
1229 who are hiring, have to have a baseline level of knowledge. The
1230 other issue is that when you are a manager you have to be trained
1231 in cybersecurity so that you know what you are doing regardless
1232 of whether or not your function is cyber related.

1233 And I think enterprises need to be looking at cybersecurity
1234 education the way, as an onboarding process, the way they look

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1235 at ethics and integrity and basic company protocols and
1236 procedures. We have to be incorporating cybersecurity awareness
1237 and education from the ground up to create this culture and I think
1238 that this is something as we move forward to emphasize.

1239 The other issue that this is more of a technical response
1240 but we talk about the education of user awareness. From a
1241 technology perspective while we are educating the consumers and
1242 the individuals and industries and enterprises, we also need to
1243 be thinking about moving security away from the end user from an
1244 innovation perspective.

1245 Ms. Matsui. Okay. Thank you very much and let me move on
1246 to Dr. Clancy. Dr. Clancy, according to one study none of
1247 America's top ten computer science programs as ranked the U.S.
1248 News and World Report in 2015 required graduates to take one
1249 cybersecurity course. Three of the top ten programs didn't offer
1250 an elective in cybersecurity.

1251 But with the rise of cyber attacks and security breaches in
1252 our networks and the shortage of cybersecurity professionals, it
1253 is imperative that our students graduate with the course work
1254 needed to be able to tackle security issues. Dr. Clancy, how can
1255 Congress encourage our colleges and universities to prepare
1256 students either through expanding courses, hiring more faculty,
1257 or other innovative solutions for careers in cybersecurity?

1258 Mr. Clancy. So I think the reason you may see that in some

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1259 of the top-ranked programs is it is the traditional academic
1260 culture that cybersecurity is a buzz word and is a fad, and myself
1261 and others in academia are working very hard to convince them
1262 otherwise that this is a fundamental problem that is going to be
1263 with us indefinitely. I think there are a number of programs that
1264 are very positively impacting this ecosystem to include NSA's
1265 Centers of Academic Excellence program and the CyberCorps
1266 Scholarship for Service program. While the CyberCorps program
1267 provides scholarship money for students to pursue careers in
1268 government upon graduation like a cyber ROTC program, the funding
1269 helps the university establish a platform that can educate
1270 students in cybersecurity who go into many different careers, not
1271 just into Federal Government. We saw that directly at Virginia
1272 Tech as part of our receipt of a CyberCorps grant. I think more
1273 initiatives and further investment in programs like that is a
1274 great place to start.

1275 Ms. Matsui. Okay, thank you. And I have run out of time,
1276 I yield back.

1277 Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Olson, you are recognized.

1278 Mr. Olson. I thank the chair and welcome to all of our
1279 witnesses. Mr. Yoran, thank you, sir, for your service to our
1280 country in our United States Army, West Point graduate.
1281 Heartfelt congratulations as well, because with assist from
1282 Temple for the first time in 15 years your Navy beat my Army in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1283 football. Bravo Zulu.

1284 Your testimony talks about elastic attack surface that
1285 includes a growing number of information technology devices.
1286 Being the vice chairman of the Energy Subcommittee I worry about
1287 cyber attacks on our power grid. December 23rd, 2015, 230,000
1288 people in the Ukraine were without power for 1 to 6 hours, a cyber
1289 attack likely coming from Comrade Putin in Russia. It was very
1290 low tech. They simply remotely flipped some switches.

1291 What kind of advice does your company provide to critical
1292 infrastructure companies in our electric grid regarding how to
1293 best protect their systems for cyber attack?

1294 Mr. Yoran. Thank you, Congressman. I think that is an
1295 ongoing challenge. As early as last night, the US-CERT program
1296 issued additional warning and guidance to energy and critical
1297 infrastructure companies around the Crash Override piece of
1298 malware which is affecting power companies around the world.

1299 From a security perspective there is a great challenge in
1300 that industry in that the systems are incapable of being updated
1301 or there is tremendous risk in updating those systems which,
1302 unlike our mobile phones or desktop PCs, have a life span measured
1303 in decades. From a best practices perspective these
1304 organizations have historically left those critical networks in
1305 the standalone state, but increasingly they are interconnected.

1306 We offer technologies and other companies offer technologies

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1307 that help monitor these networks on a passive basis, so without
1308 introducing additional risk, additional packets, or probing those
1309 networks you can see what they are vulnerable to and you can create
1310 a series of compensating controls to protect those systems from
1311 internet compromise.

1312 Mr. Olson. Also you brought up artificial intelligence.
1313 And as a co-chair of the recently launched Artificial Intelligence
1314 Caucus, I believe it is important that we use cybersecurity
1315 technology to complement the work of the talented human brains
1316 that make this happen.

1317 We know that technology alone won't solve the cybersecurity
1318 issues we have, but can you elaborate on how leveraging this
1319 technology for the growing AI field will work do you think,
1320 cybersecurity in the AI field -- or Mr. Wright, Dr. Clancy, Ms.
1321 Todt? Somebody want to take that? It is not bomb, not a grenade.

1322 Mr. Clancy. I am happy to take a stab at that, I think the
1323 DARPA Cyber Grand Challenge that we saw last year is an example
1324 of a first step in being able to accomplish that. As I mentioned
1325 earlier, I think that AI will become initially a tool that helps
1326 analysts do their job more effectively and more scalably to deal
1327 with the growing threat and larger and larger amounts of data.

1328 There is an AI renaissance that is happening, right. There
1329 are fundamental advancements that are happening that are
1330 completely changing the world of image processing and search that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1331 Google and others are leading. And I think there are many in the
1332 cybersecurity community that are hoping that those technologies
1333 can be applied to the cyber problem, but that is still an early
1334 research area that many people are sort of feverishly working on
1335 right now in academia.

1336 Mr. Olson. Ms. Todt, you look like you are chomping at the
1337 bit to comment. Am I reading that wrong?

1338 Ms. Todt. Just in support I think that we need to be
1339 investing obviously in innovation. I was on a panel with somebody
1340 who used to work at DARPA who essentially talked about the fact
1341 that there are functions that really aren't meant for humans and
1342 that our ability to automate and make those functions more capable
1343 through super-computing will help our systems work more
1344 effectively.

1345 Mr. Olson. One final question for you, Mr. Yoran. We are
1346 seeing an explosion of free WiFi hotspots all around the country,
1347 whether they are there at the corner coffeehouse, the Starbucks,
1348 the airport, the airplanes you mentioned; heck, the Mr. Carwash
1349 right down the street from my house. My daughter and wife go there
1350 all the time. It has a free hotspot just for the 20 minutes you
1351 are there.

1352 Do they offer unique challenges to safeguard? If so, what
1353 should be done on the network side as opposed to the user side?

1354 Mr. Yoran. Well, I think the most important thing is to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1355 recognize that whether you are going to a public hotspot or you
1356 get fooled into connecting to a rogue hotspot or you are connected
1357 to a corporate network which is already compromised and frequently
1358 is, the most important thing that you can do and that organizations
1359 can do is better assess the vulnerability and exposure of their
1360 systems and make sure that they are applying the latest patches
1361 and they don't fall victim. A vast majority of the attacks that
1362 we see come from well-known, well established vulnerabilities to
1363 which patches are readily available.

1364 Mr. Olson. Good luck, Army. I yield back.

1365 Mrs. Blackburn. Mrs. Dingell, you are recognized.

1366 Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you for
1367 doing this hearing and to all of the witnesses. There are so many
1368 questions. Cybersecurity is something that should concern all
1369 of us. And as somebody who has been hacked more than anybody would
1370 want to be I can tell you it is a pain to have to change your
1371 password and switch to two-factor authentication and worry about
1372 personal information being compromised.

1373 I think what -- and not even what I prepared -- what is really
1374 worrying me is some of the factoids that you have raised here
1375 today. I think one of the issues is training people. Even when
1376 you have trained IT people and you go to them and you ask a question
1377 -- ask John Podesta, myself have done this -- should I do this?
1378 And they say oh yes, and then it turns out not to be the right

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1379 thing. I think I got one last night that I have now been burnt
1380 so much I was smart enough to wait and talk to somebody today.

1381 And I really worry about as we start to talk about autonomous
1382 vehicles as an example, if people don't -- how are we going to
1383 make sure patches that need to occur occur, and when they don't,
1384 even when we look at the health care what happened on the health
1385 care situation there were simple patches available that users
1386 aren't using. How do you legislate that? These are real issues.

1387 But for these 5 minutes, which are now down to 3 minutes and
1388 45 seconds, let's talk about mobile phones which as you said, Mr.
1389 Wright, are basically super computers we have in our pockets. Our
1390 phones are always by our sides. We store our most intimate and
1391 personal details in them. And it is happening now and in the near
1392 future people are going to be locked out of their phones and in
1393 turn will be locked out of personal, social, financial
1394 information. That is a new experience for everyone. We are
1395 going to see this high level of hysteria, and we have got to pay
1396 attention to it.

1397 So this question is for the entire panel. Ransomware is now
1398 available as a service making it incredibly easy for criminals
1399 to carry out an attack. What can government do from a policy
1400 perspective to increase barriers to entry and the cost of carrying
1401 out ransomware attacks, and do you think the threat of a ransomware
1402 attack on a mobile device will only continue to increase if the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1403 government doesn't do something, any of the panel?

1404 Mr. Wright. I can start out here. Starting with your last
1405 question I think that mobile ransomware will probably increase
1406 no matter what is done. Again the criminals follow the money and
1407 right now your handheld computer is where that money or where that
1408 data is. When they can figure out how to monetize locking up that
1409 phone or encrypting that data on your phone enough to the point
1410 where you will pay to get it back, then in that case mostly not
1411 get the data back, they will exploit that.

1412 Mr. Yoran. I don't think any of us are comfortable with the
1413 state of security on mobile phones, but I think a lot of progress
1414 has been made. A lot of lessons have been learned in the -- some
1415 have not, but a lot of lessons have been learned in the mobile
1416 domain from decades of mistakes and accidents in operating systems
1417 and in compute platforms from the desktop paradigm.

1418 So I am confident that we will see an increase in ransomware
1419 no matter what is done on mobile platforms given how attractive
1420 they are as a target, but I think the industry is making progress
1421 to make that more and more challenging over time.

1422 Mr. Clancy. I think that if you look at ransomware it is
1423 leveraging the same vulnerabilities that people have used to
1424 exploit mobile devices for the last decade. So continued work
1425 to make sure patches are deployed and apps are updated is critical
1426 to closing the front door, if you will, to ransomware.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1427 I think other areas that are somewhat unique to ransomware
1428 have to do with educating users about the importance of backing
1429 up their data so if they are a victim of ransomware attack they
1430 are able to recover their data. Many cellular providers offer
1431 free services to back up your data on your phone to the cloud and
1432 consumers need to take advantage of that.

1433 Secondly, I think there is really the forensic and law
1434 enforcement side of being able to follow the money and be able
1435 to take down the ransomware networks which is increasingly
1436 difficult with the rise of bitcoin and other crypto currencies,
1437 but that is perhaps a larger question.

1438 Ms. Todt. I think ransomware represents sometimes a little
1439 bit of the flavor of the day in that we have these problems that
1440 continue to evolve, but the solutions for them are the same when
1441 we look at WannaCry which was, you know, essentially not updating
1442 with patches that are there. So it is a lot of the cyber hygiene
1443 that we have talked about and the regular download.

1444 I think it is also important, you raise an interesting
1445 element to this which it is often important to remember that
1446 attacks and when data is compromised or manipulated it is not
1447 usually because there is some engineering expertise or genius,
1448 it is really about opportunism and being able to access and exploit
1449 that opportunism. And so that is why education, backing up, all
1450 of those very basic actions can really cover about 80 percent of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1451 the solution.

1452 Mrs. Dingell. I had more questions, but I am out of time.
1453 Thank you, Madam Chair.

1454 Mrs. Blackburn. And we will give the opportunity to submit
1455 those questions in writing. Mr. Johnson, you are recognized 5
1456 minute.

1457 Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Madam Chairman.

1458 Mr. Yoran, in your testimony you note that there is a shortage
1459 of skilled labor in the cybersecurity workforce. How acute is
1460 that shortage? Has it manifested itself in your company? Do you
1461 have a problem hiring those kind of people in your own business?

1462 Mr. Yoran. That is a great question. It is extremely
1463 competitive to hire experienced cybersecurity professionals.
1464 The compensation is great and as they continue to gain experience,
1465 you know, their expectations continue to rise.

1466 Mr. Johnson. On the technical or the strategic side,
1467 because I mean there is a big difference between people that
1468 understand what cybersecurity is and those people that can get
1469 down to the ones and zeros and kind of do the technical wherewithal
1470 to find out who the bad guys are.

1471 Mr. Yoran. I think there is really a shortage on both
1472 fronts, which is why I think the importance of Dr. Clancy's
1473 comments around the multidisciplinary approach to cybersecurity.
1474 What we found is in addition to compensation there is two other

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1475 critical aspects to attracting and retaining cybersecurity
1476 talent. One is in providing them intellectually stimulating
1477 work. It is an exciting field and if you don't give them exciting
1478 problems they will go elsewhere to find them. And the other is
1479 in creating a culture that is dynamic and one that is enjoyable
1480 to be part of.

1481 Mr. Johnson. Okay. Do you think we have the same level of
1482 expertise shortage in finding skilled workforce in government
1483 agencies or departments? Is it worse, the same?

1484 Mr. Yoran. I don't know that I have the data in front of
1485 me to comment whether it is worse or the same. I do know that
1486 a tremendous amount of expertise in the private sector starts out
1487 getting its experience in public service which is costly to the
1488 government in terms of losing that talent, but I think it provides
1489 tremendous value to the private sector in terms of the level of
1490 maturity and understanding of very sophisticated cyber threats.

1491 Mr. Johnson. Okay, all right. Thank you.

1492 Dr. Clancy, what a name for a topic like cybersecurity. And
1493 if your first name was Tom you would be --

1494 Mr. Clancy. It actually is.

1495 Mr. Johnson. Yeah. I would consider changing it if I were
1496 you.

1497 Mr. Clancy. No, no, seriously, my name is Tom Clancy.

1498 Mr. Johnson. Okay, all right. Will the real Tom Clancy

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1499 please stand up?

1500 Mr. Clancy. I go by my middle name Charles. It causes too
1501 much confusion.

1502 Mr. Johnson. Well, Dr. Clancy, how soon should we expect
1503 biometric tools to supplant the traditional pin and password
1504 approach to device security?

1505 Mr. Clancy. So biometrics have offered a tremendous
1506 opportunity to fundamentally change how we authenticate people.
1507 I think there are still challenges. The joke in the biometrics
1508 community is that if I am using a fingerprint as my password I
1509 can only change my password nine times before I run out of fingers.

1510 So there are some challenges there. If your fingerprint
1511 data is compromised because it is stored in a database then your
1512 credential is sort of irrevocably lost and you can't change it
1513 like you can change a password.

1514 Mr. Johnson. So in that regard then, in that vein do you
1515 think biometric tools are going to make us more secure or are we
1516 going to happen upon the same kinds of problems that we have now
1517 if we file them away?

1518 Mr. Clancy. I believe that biometrics will be a critical
1519 part of multifactor authentication. If combined with a password
1520 and a mobile device, right, you can fuse these things together
1521 in order to significantly improve the security of a particular
1522 authentication to some online service.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1523 Mr. Johnson. All right. Secondary question, do you think
1524 it is right to think of every connected device as a potential
1525 vulnerability and, if so, what freedom or flexibility should
1526 network operators have to promote security when device owners fail
1527 to do so? And I guess we are sort of getting into the Internet
1528 of Things, you know.

1529 Mr. Clancy. Certainly. So the internet service providers
1530 have an increasingly challenging time. Because of the rise of
1531 technologies like end-to-end encryption, it is very difficult for
1532 internet service providers to tell the difference between a botnet
1533 command and control packet or a standard IoT web service traffic
1534 just because they don't have the visibility that they would
1535 otherwise have.

1536 So I think that that creates problems for them that makes
1537 it a challenge for the entire ecosystem, where you need the IoT
1538 service providers and the device manufacturers and all of them
1539 to come together to come up with a common solution for securing
1540 IoT.

1541 Mr. Johnson. Okay. Ms. Todt, I apologize. I had a
1542 question for you but I have run out of time. Madam Chair, I yield
1543 back.

1544 Mrs. Blackburn. Well, we will also let you submit that
1545 question in writing. Okay, Ms. Clarke, you are recognized for
1546 5 minutes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1547 Ms. Clarke. Well, thank you, Madam Chair. The FCC just
1548 announced the newest members of the Communications Security,
1549 Reliability and Interoperability Council, a council established
1550 to make recommendations about the security, reliability, and
1551 resiliency of our communications systems. But as I have reviewed
1552 the names of the new members, I am disappointed to see a lack of
1553 cybersecurity expertise on the council.

1554 As the author of the Cybersecurity Responsibility Act, my
1555 bill makes it clear that the FCC has a role in ensuring our
1556 commercial sector has protections in place to secure our
1557 communication networks from malicious cyber attacks. So Ms.
1558 Todt, what role do you believe the Federal Government, in
1559 particular the FCC, has in protecting our nation's communication
1560 networks?

1561 Ms. Todt. Well, I think again we can look to the executive
1562 order that was released by President Trump in May which
1563 specifically calls out the FCC as having a role in protecting the
1564 communications infrastructure and working with the secretary of
1565 commerce and the secretary of the Department of Homeland Security
1566 to initially look at that botnet mitigation, but then also looking
1567 at clean pipes and where that goes. And so clearly, I think the
1568 government, the executive office as well as industry, believes
1569 that there is a role that it needs to play.

1570 Ms. Clarke. So then it would be prudent to have some

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1571 cybersecurity expertise on this council, wouldn't it?

1572 Ms. Todt. That would appear to be the case, absolutely. I
1573 don't know who those individuals are so I don't know if they have
1574 them in any --

1575 Ms. Clarke. Just generally speaking.

1576 Ms. Todt. But I would say, I mean this is the issue, the
1577 broader issue, is that we have to be bringing cybersecurity
1578 expertise into all of these areas and that we have to be looking
1579 for that because that knowledge and that expertise has to be
1580 informing our policies, because they don't even have to be
1581 cybersecurity policies but they have an impact.

1582 Ms. Clarke. Absolutely, thank you.

1583 Dr. Clancy, as part of Congress' resolution of disapproval
1584 that overturned the FCC's privacy protections, Congress also
1585 stripped away consumers' data security protections. As I noted
1586 before, my bill, the Cybersecurity Responsibility Act, would ask
1587 the FCC to take some action, any action to protect our networks.
1588 Did Congress' rollback of these data security rules do anything
1589 to make America's personal information more secure?

1590 Mr. Clancy. Well, I --

1591 Ms. Clarke. Use your mike.

1592 Mr. Clancy. So I think the rollback of the cybersecurity
1593 provisions in the FCC rulemaking from 2018 was, actually happened
1594 before Congress acted, right. The FCC removed those provisions

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1595 and stayed those portions of the regulation, and then ultimately
1596 Congress rescinded the entire order which was focused more on the
1597 privacy aspects of that rulemaking.

1598 Of course the state of rationale was that it was inconsistent
1599 with the Federal Trade Commission's view of privacy and opt-in
1600 versus opt-out when it comes to consumer privacy. I don't know
1601 that I am in a position to declare whether opt-in or opt-out is
1602 a more appropriate way to protect consumer privacy, but I think
1603 it represents some of the regulatory challenges we have in
1604 asserting that one particular regulator has authority over a very
1605 complex ecosystem.

1606 Ms. Clarke. Or the question was more about security. And
1607 just looking at the ecosystem, if you sort of strip those or
1608 rollback those security rules, we are trying to figure out whether
1609 people's personal information it becomes, did we open up
1610 vulnerabilities? Let's put it that way.

1611 Mr. Clancy. So based on my experience working with the
1612 cellular industry and some of the major internet service
1613 providers, the big companies are already doing those best
1614 practices. The large ISPs, the large wireless carriers are
1615 already doing that. Where the gap is is the smaller and more rural
1616 internet service providers and the more niche wireless carriers
1617 who don't have as much infrastructure or resources themselves to
1618 deploy those best practices.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1619 Ms. Clarke. Yeah. So when there is a vulnerability even
1620 in the smallest of these providers, doesn't that open up
1621 opportunities to get at grander --

1622 Mr. Clancy. Certainly, it does given the
1623 interconnectedness of the different telecom providers. I think
1624 what we are seeing in industry is strong collaboration though,
1625 with the big guys looking out for the small guys and doing what
1626 they can to help quickly remediate through information sharing
1627 that was really accelerated by the past --

1628 Ms. Clarke. Anyone else have any thoughts on that?

1629 Ms. Todt. I think the supply chain is a huge issue and even
1630 if you are sharing those practices we have to be looking at
1631 baseline level of standards. And I think that you are, oh, it
1632 is always going to be the weakest link and we have to do a better
1633 job within our sectors of actually informing and helping to share
1634 those best practices and lessons learned. One of the things
1635 that we have learned is that small businesses across sector have
1636 a lot more in common with each other than the small businesses
1637 and the large businesses within their sector and there is a lot
1638 of evidence right now around that. And so being able to look at
1639 this more thoughtfully and I think it goes again to this issue
1640 of collaboration and pre-event planning would be the actions that
1641 we need to be taking.

1642 Ms. Clarke. Very well. Madam Chair, I yield back. Thank

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1643 you.

1644 Mrs. Blackburn. And Mr. Bilirakis, you are recognized for
1645 5 minutes.

1646 Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate it so
1647 much. And I appreciate your testimony today.

1648 As more IoT devices enter the market industry has seen a rise
1649 in tech support scams, unfortunately. Symantec's 2016 Threat
1650 Report found a 200 percent rise in tech support scams in a 2-year
1651 period. With these types of threats the best defense is with the
1652 end user. Mr. Wright, how can an end user distinguish between
1653 a legitimate help desk and a tech support scam and can you describe
1654 how Symantec has responded to the increased threat?

1655 Mr. Wright. Yes. So these types of social engineering
1656 attacks as you just mentioned the tech support are particularly
1657 vexing. They depend on the consumer to somehow be able to intuit
1658 or to understand whether or not they are being, whether they are
1659 being scammed. There is not a lot of sort of technology that can
1660 fix that. A lot of it comes back to raising awareness of the user
1661 of what those threats could be, those users being more careful
1662 and perhaps having a more keen eye on to pick up signs. But it
1663 is a very, very difficult problem when it comes down to the user
1664 themselves.

1665 Mr. Bilirakis. Yeah, thank you. For years people have been
1666 told to check for the https identifier in their browser before

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1667 accessing personal websites such as for banking or health care.
1668 Mr. Wright again, your 2016 Threat Report states that relying on
1669 the https marking provides a false sense of security. Can you
1670 expand upon that?

1671 Mr. Wright. I am sorry?

1672 Mr. Bilirakis. Your findings. No, let me say it again.
1673 Your 2016 Threat Report states that relying on the https marking
1674 provides a false sense of security. Can you expand on that
1675 finding?

1676 Mr. Wright. I know that https is more protected, but I am
1677 sorry I cannot sort of expand on the Internet Security Threat
1678 Report piece there. I am not prepared for that. Anybody on the
1679 panel have --

1680 Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. Can maybe anyone else on the panel?
1681 Yes, please.

1682 Mr. Clancy. So https implies that the session is
1683 authenticated and encrypted, but the concern is to whom you are
1684 authenticated. There are many scams that can change a letter in
1685 the name of the domain name such that you wouldn't notice the
1686 difference but could still present a secure credential to you as
1687 a user.

1688 So I think https is a first step and if you don't have that
1689 then you definitely need to be concerned. But there are other
1690 -- you need to look at the spelling of the domain name to make

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1691 sure that it is spelled accurately and there aren't strange
1692 characters in there, that those are the sorts of things that
1693 undermine the security of simply looking for the https.

1694 Mr. Bilirakis. Any other suggestions?

1695 Okay, thank you very much. Let's see, I still have a little
1696 time. Mr. Wright, according to Symantec 2016 Threat Report, the
1697 Apple iOS system faced its first widespread threat with the
1698 XcodeGhost attack. This malware has infected over 4,000 apps
1699 which leaves unsuspecting devices vulnerable. In response to
1700 cyber threats success largely depends on speed of response. How
1701 has industry responded to threats via apps since it first took
1702 hold in 2015 and have efforts met the success?

1703 Mr. Wright. Yeah, good question. So apps certainly
1704 represent a potential threat vector especially for mobile
1705 devices. I would say that Apple has done a pretty good job making
1706 sure that malicious apps are not included in their app store.
1707 Android is doing a better job at trying to ensure that their apps
1708 aren't malicious. So those two providers I think have come a long
1709 way. Apple has always been pretty good, but the other provider
1710 has come a long way.

1711 In addition, there is some security solutions to this. Not
1712 plugging Symantec, but we do produce technology that can scan for
1713 apps and look for possible malicious apps or grayware apps which
1714 sometimes can leak information. So there is a technology

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1715 solution, and then also the providers are doing a lot of work in
1716 that area as well.

1717 Mr. Bilirakis. Anyone else want to add something? I know
1718 I only have 15 seconds. Okay, very good. Thank you, Madam Chair.
1719 It is a very informative hearing. Thanks for calling the hearing.
1720 Thank you.

1721 Mrs. Blackburn. Thank you. Ms. Eshoo, 5 minutes.

1722 Ms. Eshoo. I thank the chairwoman and I thank all the
1723 witnesses. I think you have given very important testimony.
1724 First of all, to Mr. Wright, I am very proud to represent Symantec.

1725 Mr. Wright. Thank you.

1726 Ms. Eshoo. I have had a long, long, long-term relationship
1727 going back to the days of John and how he really helped build a
1728 new Symantec and you keep going and you are a real asset to the
1729 country.

1730 And to Mr. Yoran, you get the prize for the best dressed
1731 before this subcommittee every time you come. One of the members
1732 said, do you think he lost his suitcase? I said, no, he hasn't
1733 lost his suitcase. That is his tuxedo for this committee.

1734 You have all -- there has been a lot of discussion about a
1735 lot of things here. The title of the hearing is Cybersecurity
1736 Risks to Wireless Networks, but this is an entire ecosystem. And
1737 I think we have made real progress in many areas and I think that
1738 obviously we are lacking in others. I want to thank Symantec for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1739 working with me on the legislation that I mentioned in my brief
1740 opening statement. But I want to go to something else first
1741 and then a question to each one of you. Last year the FCC put
1742 into place data security rules that apply to wireless carriers
1743 as part of its privacy proceeding. And Dr. Clancy, you just gave
1744 some kind of, I don't know really what it was, but I am going to
1745 find out more, press you for more.

1746 These rules asked ISPs, really, something very simple and
1747 that is to take, quote, reasonable measures, reasonable measures
1748 to protect consumer data. Now there was the monetization of
1749 information and the monetization of attacks that has been brought
1750 up by more than one panel member this morning. Do any of you think
1751 that the FCC went too far in asking ISPs to act reasonably to
1752 protect consumer data? There is a little bit of, if I might
1753 suggest this, politically cross-dressing that is going on here,
1754 because the Congress ripped away all privacy protections on the
1755 internet and that is on the computer that I have in my purse. That
1756 is for everyone in the country. So we are talking about, I think
1757 cybersecurity is all about privacy. It brings about privacy.

1758 So maybe a yes or no to each one of you, and if you don't
1759 know then say that. Do you think the FCC went too far in asking
1760 for reasonable measures to protect consumer data? I am going to
1761 start with --

1762 Mr. Wright. So I will have to say I don't know too much about

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1763 that --

1764 Ms. Eshoo. Okay.

1765 Mr. Wright. -- specifically, but I will say, you know, it
1766 appears to be reasonable to protect user data.

1767 Mr. Yoran. I can't comment specifically to FCC's issue, but
1768 reasonable does sound reasonable.

1769 Mr. Clancy. Indeed. I mean it was a complicated set of
1770 circumstances, but --

1771 Ms. Eshoo. What is so complicated about it? What is
1772 complicated about it? I have it right here what they put forward.
1773 They are really simple things.

1774 Mr. Clancy. Reasonable is reasonable.

1775 Ms. Todt. I will ditto my colleagues. I mean reasonable
1776 protections are reasonable.

1777 Ms. Eshoo. I think what I would like to do in writing,
1778 because I don't have time for it, is to ask each one of you so
1779 you can be prepared for it, what is your top line recommendation
1780 to the subcommittee relative to cybersecurity in our country?
1781 Just one thing, top line, from each one of you. You are all
1782 experts and I will look forward to sending that to you and getting
1783 your responses. Thank you for what you are doing for the American
1784 people. I appreciate it.

1785 Mrs. Blackburn. All right. Let's see, we are -- Mr.
1786 Flores, you are recognized.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1787 Mr. Flores. Thank you, Madam Chair, and I want to thank the
1788 panel for being here today.

1789 Ms. Todt, unlike other types of crimes, when we talk about
1790 cybercrime we always seem to focus on the need to protect against
1791 the attacks rather than prosecute the bad actors. And can you
1792 tell us what the Federal Government is doing to actively work on
1793 cybercrime attribution and also what are the limitations of trying
1794 to track down our cyber adversaries?

1795 Ms. Todt. So right now I believe the executive order has
1796 laid out -- I am not as familiar with the criminal angle. I know
1797 we worked with the Department of Justice with the Commission on
1798 being able to look at malicious actors and where the crime plays
1799 a role, and I think one of the key things that a lot of the
1800 commissioners talked about is you have to have penalties for those
1801 bad actors. But I apologize, I can't talk extensively, but I am
1802 happy to get back to you with an answer in writing.

1803 Mr. Flores. Okay, yeah. If you could do that, that would
1804 be great.

1805 Dr. Clancy, in your testimony today and from testimony across
1806 the panel it sounds like we have got a skills gap when it comes
1807 to protecting ourselves from cybercrime. And of course in order
1808 to fill the pipeline we are going to have to be able to get our
1809 educational institutions to produce the people resources to be
1810 able to do with this.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1811 I represent three world-class universities back in my
1812 district, Texas A&M University, Baylor University, and the
1813 University of Texas. What could the Federal Government be doing
1814 to help ensure that pipeline is filled with quality skilled
1815 individuals?

1816 Mr. Clancy. I think that most of the efforts to date have
1817 focused on the tail end of the pipeline.

1818 Mr. Flores. Right.

1819 Mr. Clancy. Getting students out of college and into jobs,
1820 I think the pipeline starts much earlier than that.

1821 Mr. Flores. Exactly.

1822 Mr. Clancy. When students are coming into college they need
1823 to want to major in cybersecurity and more broadly in STEM fields,
1824 so I think additional initiatives that are focused on the K-12
1825 outreach and engagement to bring cybersecurity down to the middle
1826 school level or even sooner, just basic digital hygiene at the
1827 elementary school level would be a great starting point and build
1828 up from there. If you want to build a pipeline you need to start
1829 at the beginning.

1830 Mr. Flores. Okay. Now Mr. Yoran, you and I both have
1831 business backgrounds and I mean you hire a lot of these types of
1832 individuals. What would your key recommendations be?

1833 Mr. Yoran. I think it is important for employers to look
1834 for the intellectual curiosity around cyber. And as Dr. Clancy

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1835 said earlier, you know, I think you have to start at an earlier
1836 age and part of it may be through cyber hygiene. I know I could
1837 talk to my kids about cyber hygiene and they still don't apply
1838 their patches, so I think we have to find things that are more
1839 interesting, more intriguing ways of creating excitement and
1840 creativity around cybersecurity education.

1841 Mr. Flores. Okay, thank you.

1842 Dr. Clancy, you mentioned the need for the Federal Government
1843 to continue to act as a convener and to set priorities based on
1844 its unique knowledge of cyber threats, but for national security
1845 reasons the government doesn't always share the full extent of
1846 its knowledge of those threats. How significant is this
1847 limitation and how can Congress be helpful in encouraging more
1848 transparent threat intelligence sharing?

1849 Mr. Clancy. So I think from a convening perspective, groups
1850 like the FCC CSRIC organization is a great way for the government,
1851 for the Federal Communications Commission to sort of set
1852 priorities and identify areas of concern and work collaboratively
1853 with industry to identify solutions. I think that that goes to
1854 a certain extent hand in hand with the challenges of cyber
1855 information sharing.

1856 You have the national security agencies who are generating
1857 detailed information on cyber threat, but that is due to the
1858 sources and methods involved. It is held at a classified level

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1859 and can't be shared and that creates a barrier to sharing. The
1860 thought is that if we have sufficiently large cyber threat
1861 brokerage houses sort of emerging that there can be enough data
1862 that the Federal Government could anonymously share data that
1863 would obscure sources and methods with those brokerages and it
1864 wouldn't be attributable to specific sensitive aspects of how that
1865 data was arrived at.

1866 Now we are not there yet, but I think there is some hope that
1867 that may be a solution moving forward long term.

1868 Mr. Flores. Okay, thank you. If any of you have any
1869 supplemental comments on any of these questions and you could
1870 submit those that would be great. Thank you and I yield back the
1871 balance of my time.

1872 Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Rush, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

1873 Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Madam Chair, and I want to
1874 commend you for holding this hearing.

1875 Dr. Clancy, Tom, you are concerned that the Internet of
1876 Things, the IoT, where everything from home appliance to
1877 industrial infrastructure devices connected to the internet is
1878 not secure enough to withstand a cyber attack. What is the
1879 biggest challenge you see in securing this complex mobile
1880 ecosystem?

1881 Mr. Clancy. Well, I think that just the breadth as you
1882 stated is part of the challenge. The threats to an

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1883 internet-connected home appliance are very different than the
1884 threats to an internet-connected nuclear reactor and the
1885 technologies involved are very different.

1886 So at one end of the spectrum in the consumer technology space
1887 we have the key challenge, I think, is supply chain and inexpensive
1888 goods, inexpensive IoT devices coming from overseas that were not
1889 designed with security as part of the fundamental component. I
1890 think at the other end of the spectrum you have industrial
1891 infrastructure, industrial control systems. There the challenge
1892 is more that the desire to gain efficiencies from aging
1893 infrastructure and be able to support more users with the same
1894 power grid and more peak demand requires us to use artificial
1895 intelligence to orchestrate much of our infrastructure which
1896 necessitates connecting that infrastructure to the cloud in order
1897 to do the needed big data processing on the data.

1898 So you end up drawing this sort of series of events that
1899 necessitates for business reasons connecting this industrial
1900 infrastructure to the cloud, which then fundamentally exposes it
1901 to risks it had never faced before. And that is a whole separate
1902 set of challenges that requires the key components of that
1903 industry to figure out how to work together to solve those
1904 challenges.

1905 Mr. Rush. Are you concerned that the Federal Government is
1906 inadequate and then presently is organized that we are, are we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1907 prepared to deal with this broad threat, a cybersecurity threat?
1908 I mean we have different centers of responsibility or authority
1909 and power located in many different places from Homeland Security
1910 to the FCC. Are we prepared in a streamlined way to respond to
1911 a cyber attack using these IoTs?

1912 Mr. Clancy. I think we are never going to be as prepared
1913 as we would like to be, but I think our level of preparedness is
1914 steadily increasing. I think the NIST Cybersecurity Framework
1915 that many have referenced throughout this hearing is a great
1916 example of a tool that we can use to develop a common understanding
1917 of how to respond to these threats and we need more things like
1918 that to help improve our ability to respond.

1919 Mr. Rush. I want to thank you. I want to move to Mr. Wright.
1920 Mr. Wright, how vulnerable is the U.S. power grid to a similar
1921 power grid attack that Ukraine suffered last year?

1922 Mr. Wright. Excuse me. Yes, you are referring to what we
1923 have called Sandworm threat. It attacked the Ukraine two
1924 different times over the last year shutting down power.
1925 Interestingly, they got back online relatively fast because they
1926 went back to manual movements.

1927 Here in the U.S. I think we are probably more advanced on
1928 our security of those power grids. More than that, I think that
1929 our people are trained to be able to get back online manually
1930 because of threats in storms and natural disasters that they have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1931 trained to be able to get back online and to be able to do that
1932 manually.

1933 That said, there is always going to be susceptibility, and
1934 with the latest Ellen Nakashima article that came out yesterday
1935 advising of a new more advanced threat, I am sure that our power
1936 grid operators and government are looking at how to protect
1937 against those.

1938 Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Madam Chair, and I yield back.

1939 Mrs. Blackburn. I thank the gentleman. Mrs. Brooks, you
1940 are recognized for 5 minutes.

1941 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Madam Chairman, and thank you to
1942 all of our panelists for sharing your background and your wisdom
1943 with us. It seems that part of the problem we face is that cyber
1944 attacks when we talk about cybersecurity it is moving far faster,
1945 it seems, than our cyber defenses and the bad guys only have to
1946 be right once while the good guys have to be right all of the time.

1947 I am a former U.S. attorney and but from '01 to '07 when we
1948 were really standing up cyber teams and I certainly know the FBI
1949 and obviously NSA and others have really beefed up their
1950 cybersecurity, but yet I am a bit troubled that -- because I was
1951 just, you know, Googling big cyber cases and so forth and they
1952 seem to be happening more in other countries than they are
1953 happening in our country.

1954 And I am just curious how much cooperation is there with the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1955 private sector lending your advice to the government sector in
1956 prosecuting and enforcing our cyber laws. And I am concerned that
1957 your expertise and the expertise of those in your industry, it
1958 is hard for government to bring folks in. As you said, I believe,
1959 Mr. Yoran that often it goes the other way. They start in
1960 government and then go out to the private sector.

1961 But yet if we aren't cooperating and I think at a very
1962 different level than we currently are, and I appreciate your work
1963 and what the commissions have done and recommendations and so
1964 forth, but I think we need to accelerate it in a much greater way
1965 of how we can prevent, not just prevent because you are all focused
1966 on preventing, but if we don't actually prosecute. And Mr.
1967 Wright, would you like to start us out?

1968 Mr. Wright. Sure.

1969 Mrs. Brooks. And I really need to hear what your thoughts
1970 are about the level of government's willingness to bring your
1971 expertise to the table to help us, you know, stop these people
1972 by actually prosecuting.

1973 Mr. Wright. Yeah, I think you are making an absolute,
1974 excellent point there. There is a focus on protection, whereas
1975 rarely do we speak about deterrents. One of the main deterrents
1976 is prosecuting. I would say that the FBI in particular has gotten
1977 much better. In fact, I would put them at very good at this point.
1978 They are recruiting the right people. They are going after the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1979 cybercriminals. And maybe if you don't read about it as much here
1980 in the United States it is because a lot of our adversaries,
1981 cybercrime adversaries, are sitting overseas; very tough to
1982 prosecute in those cases.

1983 But I will tell you one good story that happened right at
1984 the beginning of this year. Symantec partnered with the FBI and
1985 worked on a case we referred to as Bayrob. It went on for 9 years.
1986 We had finally culminated in the arrest and extradition of three
1987 Romanian citizens that are currently sitting here in the U.S.
1988 awaiting trial.

1989 Those connections that private sector companies are making
1990 with law enforcement are getting better every day. They are
1991 getting more and more trusted. I actually think that is a good
1992 news story for us now. But I think focusing on some sort of
1993 deterrents is really important because today cybercrime has all
1994 upside and no downside. There are no risks, very few risks
1995 involved in being in cybercrime.

1996 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you. Mr. Yoran, any comments you might
1997 have and should we be looking at a different model of how
1998 government is working with the private sector to bring people to
1999 justice? Because 9 years and three defendants doesn't sound like
2000 enough to me, but I applaud it -- but 9 years and three defendants.

2001 Mr. Yoran. And I am sure there is a lot of detail to that
2002 case and will point to many follow-on cases and other

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2003 investigations. I think you bring up a very important point.
2004 There are many cooperative efforts between law enforcement and
2005 private industry.

2006 A few areas where private industry has really augmented what
2007 has been traditional government function is in the area of attack
2008 attribution and threat intelligence of which Symantec, you know,
2009 is a very active participant. And that can aid and assist law
2010 enforcement and also help create deterrents whether it is through
2011 naming and shaming or other means.

2012 There also remains, I think, a reasonable gap between the
2013 interest of law enforcement and those trying to defend networks
2014 where there are instances where, you know, law enforcement
2015 officials would like to, for the purposes of prosecuting a crime,
2016 leave systems open and to continue to monitor how a crime is
2017 unfolding, whereas those trying to defend networks frequently
2018 care a little bit less about who is doing it and more about cleaning
2019 up their systems.

2020 Mrs. Brooks. My time is up, but if any of you would have
2021 any other comments you would like to make, would certainly
2022 appreciate any written comments on it. Thank you. I yield back.

2023 Mrs. Blackburn. Thank you, gentlelady, and Mr. Costello for
2024 5 minutes.

2025 Mr. Costello. Thank you. Mr. Wright, from your experience
2026 working on both the federal side and industry sides of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2027 cybersecurity, I want to ask you this question. And this comes
2028 from a conversation I had with somebody pretty high up the food
2029 chain on this issue. Mobile device hardware, how serious of a
2030 problem is it that DOD and the U.S. Government rely on foreign
2031 IT hardware as well as just the consumer products that we utilize
2032 in that space? Many of it is foreign manufactured or foreign
2033 designed and specifically I have heard that there are times when
2034 the capacity or capability of a particular device far exceeds,
2035 the potential for it far exceeds what the realization of that
2036 device is actually for. Does that make sense?

2037 Mr. Wright. So I think the capacity and capability --

2038 Mr. Costello. In other words you can have more with --

2039 Mr. Wright. Far exceeds, I am sorry? What --

2040 Mr. Costello. Far exceeds what a consumer is actually
2041 intending to utilize it for.

2042 Mr. Wright. Well, I think that certainly on this side,
2043 mobile phone consumers are sort of just hitting the beginning of
2044 what they eventually are going to do with mobile devices. As far
2045 as concern about where those mobile devices are being built, you
2046 know, I think that some of these supply chains are always going
2047 to be important and can open up some possible vulnerabilities.

2048 So we need to be able to have an understanding of where not
2049 only the device is put together but where those individual pieces
2050 are manufactured and pulled into the device, because they can

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2051 certainly open yourself up to vulnerabilities.

2052 Mr. Costello. I want to pick up on the line of inquiry that
2053 Mrs. Brooks was pursuing and that is, it seems to me distinguishing
2054 between lawful legitimate activity and unlawful activity, someone
2055 engaged in a cybersecurity crime is often difficult to discern
2056 until it is too late. And whether it is the cloud, whether it
2057 is wireless access points, I was reading a little bit in the
2058 testimony about the mobile device management solutions.

2059 The question I have here is, is our criminal code, does it
2060 reflect the technological capacity of cybercrime as it stands
2061 today or are we sort of, is it antiquated? Does it need to evolve
2062 or does it need to be, does it need to reflect the way that criminal
2063 activity occurs, because often times a crime could be happening
2064 and yet we are not able to call it a crime because the actual
2065 malware or the actual money hasn't been stolen or the last piece
2066 of the crime which would actually make it criminal hasn't yet
2067 occurred. Does that make sense?

2068 And so my question to any of you is, be it with wireless access
2069 points, be it with just how often we use the cloud, do you see
2070 certain types of cybercriminal activity where our criminal code
2071 does not properly reflect what is happening day in and day out
2072 in such a manner that we are able to go and prevent crimes from
2073 happening because our criminal code does not have the elements
2074 to be able to have us sufficiently charge them with a crime early

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2075 enough before it is too late, anyone?

2076 Ms. Todt. I think the industry, obviously industry has a
2077 thoughtful perspective on this and I know Symantec has done some
2078 tremendous work in this space. There is an entity called the
2079 National Cyber-Forensics & Training Alliance center which works
2080 with the FBI with consumers with law enforcement to understand
2081 where the criminal code is aligned with cybercrime.

2082 And I know that they are working on revising it where
2083 necessary, because I think, you know, to the point that was made,
2084 rightly, it is this deterrents effort. But updating just as we
2085 need to do across all elements of cybersecurity we tend to have
2086 a physical approach to cybercrime sometimes and understanding
2087 that the NCF&TA, I believe, is looking at that specifically.

2088 Mr. Costello. Yeah.

2089 Mr. Wright. I would just say, yeah, I agree there are some
2090 sort of unique things about pursuing and prosecuting a cyber case,
2091 chain of custody of evidence is one of them.

2092 Mr. Costello. Right.

2093 Mr. Wright. I can't think of sort of specific incidences
2094 where we are crosswise with the laws, but that is certainly
2095 something I think they could look into. There is one area, the
2096 way that we share information, prosecutorial information with
2097 other countries, our MLAT process, our Mutual Legal Assistance
2098 Treaties, I believe are outdated. They need to be, they probably

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2099 need to be revised so that we can share information, we could have
2100 information shared with us so that we can prosecute better.

2101 Mr. Costello. The concern I have, and my time is over, is
2102 just given the lack or small number of instances where we are able
2103 to prosecute on this tells me that there is just too much, there
2104 is no risk. I think that was the term you used. There is no risk
2105 to not engage in cybersecurity crimes when you are these actors.
2106 And that is terribly concerning and it just raises the question
2107 to me on the criminal side of it, is there more that we can do
2108 to enable the prosecution of this more easily. I yield back.

2109 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back and there are no
2110 further members seeking time for questions. Pursuant to
2111 committee rules, I remind members that they have 10 business days
2112 to submit additional questions.

2113 And I think you all are probably aware you have got written
2114 questions coming to you. We would ask that you respond to those
2115 written questions within 10 business days and get that back to
2116 us. It is a hearing where there is a good bit of interest and
2117 we look forward to moving forward on this issue this year.

2118 So seeing no further business to come to the subcommittee
2119 today, the committee is adjourned.

2120 [Whereupon, at 12:04 p.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]